Δ (1232) contribution to real radiative corrections for elastic electron-proton scattering

R.E. Gerasimov¹

¹Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk Novosibirsk State University

PHOTON, 2015



Proton Electromagnetic Form Factors



• Form factors contain information about proton internal structure.

$$egin{aligned} \Gamma^{\mu}(q) &= G_{M}(q^{2}) \; \gamma^{\mu} + ig(G_{E}(q^{2}) - G_{M}(q^{2})ig) \; rac{2M_{
ho}(p'+p)^{\mu}}{4M_{
ho}^{2}-q^{2}} \ G_{E}(0) &= 1, \quad G_{M}(0) = \mu_{
ho} \end{aligned}$$

 Form factors can be extracted from unpolarized elastic ep-scattering (since 1950s)

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\text{Rosenbluth}}}{d\Omega_3} \propto \bar{\sum} |M_{1\gamma}|^2 \propto \tau G_M^2(q^2) + \epsilon G_E^2(q^2)$$
$$\tau = \frac{-q^2}{4M_0^2}, \quad \epsilon = \left[1 + 2(1+\tau)\tan^2\frac{\theta_3}{2}\right]^{-1}$$

Form Factors Ratio $\mu_p G_E/G_M$: Experimental Results.

- Rosenbluth separation method leads to dipole dependence of form factors w.r.t. squared momentum transfer ($Q^2 = -q^2$), and the ratio $\mu_p G_E/G_M \approx 1$.
- Form factors ratio can be measured in experiments with polarized particles (JLab, 2000;...). The result is significantly different: $\mu_p G_E/G_M$ decreases with the rise of Q^2 .



Cross section ratio

• $e^{\pm}p$ scattering cross section ratio

$${m R}=rac{d\sigma(e^+p)/d\Omega_3}{d\sigma(e^-p)/d\Omega_3}$$

• C-odd radiative corrections make the difference between R and 1

$$R-1 pprox -2(\delta_{2\gamma}+\delta_{brem,int})$$

• Virtual radiative corrections $\delta_{2\gamma}$ comes from the interference of born amplitude with the TPE amplitudes. We are interested in the contribution of $M_{2\gamma}^{hard}$



Real radiative corrections



 Real radiative correction δ_{brem,int} comes from the interference of lepton and proton bremsstrahlung

$$\delta_{brem,int} = \frac{\int 2Re\left[\bar{\sum}M_{brem,e}^{\dagger}M_{brem,p}\right]}{\bar{\sum}|M_{1\gamma}|^{2}}$$

 Integration over final particles phase space is restricted by certain experimental cuts. It can not be done analytically, so ESEPP event generator was used for the experiment at the VEPP-3 storage ring

Real radiative corrections. $\Delta(1232)$



- We can consider $\Delta(1232)$ in the intermediate state. Real radiative corrections are determined by experimental cuts and in principal could alter results of the Rosenbluth separation and the TPE contribution extraction from the $e^{\pm}p$ cross section ratio
- These amplitudes can be calculated for concrete form of γΔp – vertex explicitly. It was also done, but the expressions are complex for analyses
- The contribution of Δ appeared to be small, so we will present estimates for the contributions of |M_Δ|² and C-odd interference term M_{brem,e}[†]M_Δ.

Proton- $\Delta(1232)$ transition form factors



• 3 form factors for the vertex (TPE-△ arXiv:1407.2711)

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{\Gamma}^{\mu\alpha}_{\gamma p \to \Delta}(p,q) &= \\ &- \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{1}{2M_{\Delta}^2} \gamma^5 \left\{ G^{(1)}_{\Delta}(q^2) \left[g^{\mu\alpha} \hat{q} \hat{p} - p^{\mu} \hat{q} \gamma^{\alpha} - \gamma^{\alpha} \gamma^{\mu} (p \cdot q) + \hat{p} \gamma^{\mu} q^{\alpha} \right] \right. \\ &+ \left. \left. + G^{(2)}_{\Delta}(q^2) \left[p^{\mu} q^{\alpha} - g^{\mu\alpha} (p \cdot q) \right] \right. \\ &\left. - \left. \frac{G^{(3)}_{\Delta}(q^2)}{M_{\Delta}} \left[q^2 \left(p^{\mu} \gamma^{\alpha} - g^{\mu\alpha} \hat{p} \right) + q^{\mu} \left(q^{\alpha} \hat{p} - \gamma^{\alpha} (p \cdot q) \right) \right] \right\} \end{split}$$

▲ロト ▲団ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三ヨー わんで

Helicity amplitudes

 In the following we will express the results in terms of 3 helicity amplitudes for the process γ^{*}p → Δ.

$$\begin{split} M_{+1,+\frac{1}{2},+\frac{1}{2}}^{\gamma^* p \to \Delta} &= C \, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \, A_{1/2}(q^2), \quad M_{+1,-\frac{1}{2},+\frac{3}{2}}^{\gamma^* p \to \Delta} = C \, \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \, A_{3/2}(q^2) \\ & i M_{0,\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}}^{\gamma^* p \to \Delta} = C \, \frac{\sqrt{-q^2}}{M_\Delta} \, S_{1/2}(q^2) \\ & \text{with } C = \frac{\sqrt{(M_\Delta - M_\rho)^2 - q^2} \sqrt{(M_\Delta + M_\rho)^2 - q^2}}{2(M_\Delta + M_\rho)}, \text{ and } q^2 \text{ is the photon virtuality.} \end{split}$$

• Decay
$$\Delta \rightarrow \gamma p$$

$$\Gamma_{\Delta \to \gamma p} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{(M_{\Delta}^2 - M_{p}^2)^3}{32M_{\Delta}^3(M_{\Delta} + M_{p})^2} \left[A_{1/2}^2(0) + 3A_{3/2}^2(0) \right]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_{\Delta \to \gamma p}}{\Gamma_{\Delta}} = (0.55 - 0.65)\% (PDG)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Contribution of $|M_{\Delta}|^2$. Rough estimate



•
$$ep
ightarrow e\Delta$$

$$ar{\sum} \left| M_{ep
ightarrow e\Delta}
ight|^2 \propto \left\{ A_{1/2}^2(q^2) + 3A_{3/2}^2(q^2) + 4\epsilon rac{-q^2}{M_\Delta^2}S_{1/2}^2(q^2)
ight\}$$

• A very rough estimate for the contribution of $|M_{\Delta}|^2$

$$\delta_{\Delta} \simeq rac{d\sigma_{ep
ightarrow e\Delta}/d\Omega_3}{d\sigma_{ep
ightarrow ep}/d\Omega_3} imes rac{\Gamma_{\Delta
ightarrow p\gamma}}{\Gamma_{\Delta}}$$

which gives δ_{Δ} about 0.5%, and it is not small.

Contribution of $|M_{\Delta}|^2$. More accurate estimate



△(1232) propagator

$$\frac{i(\hat{p}_{\Delta}+M_{\Delta})}{p_{\Delta}^2-M_{\Delta}+i\Gamma_{\Delta}M_{\Delta}}\left(g^{\alpha\beta}-\frac{\gamma^{\alpha}\gamma^{\beta}}{3}-\frac{\hat{p}_{\Delta}\gamma^{\alpha}p_{\Delta}^{\beta}+p_{\Delta}^{\alpha}\gamma^{\beta}\hat{p}_{\Delta}}{3p_{\Delta}^2}\right)$$

- The vertex $\Delta \rightarrow \gamma p$ with real photon is proportional to its energy $\omega = (W^2 M_p^2)/(2W)$ in the special frame $\mathbf{p_4} + \mathbf{k} = 0$, where $W^2 = (p_4 + k)^2$
- A more accurate estimate for the contribution of $|M_{\Delta}|^2$:

$$\delta_{\Delta} \approx \frac{\Gamma_{\Delta \to \gamma p}}{\Gamma_{\Delta}} \frac{\frac{d\sigma_{ep \to e\Delta}}{d\Omega_3}}{\frac{d\sigma_{ep \to ep}}{d\Omega_3}} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{W_{max}^2 - M_p^2} \frac{1}{(M_{\Delta}^2 - M_p^2)^3} \frac{M_{\Delta}\Gamma_{\Delta} x^3 dx}{(x + M_p^2 - M_{\Delta}^2)^2 + \Gamma_{\Delta}^2 M_{\Delta}^2}$$

where $x = W^2 - M_p^2$

Contribution of $|M_{\Delta}|^2$. Numerical



- δ_{Δ} for the Rosenbluth separation experiment (SLAC, 94). Solid lines — for matrix element $|M_{\Delta}|^2$ evaluated without approximations, stripes — for the approximate expression: red, orange, blue for $Q^2 = 1, 2, 3 \text{ GeV}^2$
- The contribution is highly suppressed for $W_{max}^2 < (M_p + m_\pi)^2$ below π production threshold.

C-odd interference $M_{brem,e}^{\dagger}M_{\Delta}$



• Relatively simple expression for $\delta_{brem,\Delta}^{int}$ can be found using soft photon approximation $(W \to M_p)$ and saving leading terms w.r.t. $M_{\Delta} - M_p$.

$$\delta_{\textit{brem},\Delta}^{\textit{int}} = \frac{\int' \left(1 - \frac{x}{2M_{p}\epsilon_{1}}\right) \frac{1}{4} \frac{xdx}{x + M_{p}^{2}} \int' \frac{d\Omega_{\gamma}}{(2\pi)^{3}2} \bar{\sum} \, 2\text{Re}\left[M_{\textit{brem},e}^{\dagger}M_{\Delta}\right]}{\bar{\sum}|M_{1\gamma}|^{2}}$$

C-odd interference $M_{brem,e}^{\dagger}M_{\Delta}$

In the following p, ψ — electron momentum and scattering angle in the Breit frame; q_v — virtual photon momentum and ζ , ϕ , $\epsilon(k)$ — real photon emission angles and polarization vector in the special frame $\mathbf{p}_4 + \mathbf{k}$

$$\begin{split} \bar{\sum} 2 \, Re \left[M_{brem,e}^{(soft)} \,^{\dagger} M_{\Delta}^{(1)} \right] &\approx -\frac{4}{3} \frac{e^5}{(q^2)^2} \, Re \left[\frac{1}{W^2 - M_{\Delta}^2 + i\Gamma_{\Delta}M_{\Delta}} \right] \times \\ G_1(0) \left(M_p F_E(q^2) (A_{1/2}(q^2) + 3A_{3/2}(q^2)) + \frac{(-q^2)}{M_{\Delta}} F_M(q^2) \, S_{1/2}(q^2) \right) \\ & \left(p^2 \sin \psi \right) \left(\frac{\omega}{M_{\Delta}} \frac{q_v}{M_{\Delta}} \left[\frac{p_{3,\mu}}{(p_3k)} - \frac{p_{1,\mu}}{(p_1k)} \right] \right) \\ & \left[\frac{\epsilon_{\pm 1}^{\mu}(k) - \epsilon_{-1}(k)}{\sqrt{2}} \cos \phi + \frac{\epsilon_{\pm 1}^{\mu}(k) + \epsilon_{-1}^{\mu}(k)}{\sqrt{2}} i \sin \phi \cos \zeta \right] \end{split}$$

- Futher integration within the angular and energy cuts applied in the experiment at the VEPP-3 is not easy. It was done numerically
- Using the approximate expression as well as $M_e^{\dagger}M_{\Delta}$ without approximations ensures that $\delta_{brem,\Delta} < 0.1\%$



- We consider a potential contribution of ∆(1232) resonance to real radiative corrections for unpolarized elastic electron-proton scattering
- The effect is found to be small for the past experiments on Rosenbluth separation as well as for the recent experiment at the VEPP-3 storage ring to investigate the two-photon exchange effects

