The Muon g - 2 experiment at Fermilab

Alexander Keshavarzi^{1,*} on behalf of the Muon g - 2 collaboration^{**}

¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, The University of Mississippi, Mississippi 38677, U.S.

Abstract. The current ~ 3.5σ discrepancy between the experimental measurement and theoretical prediction of the muon magnetic anomaly, a_{μ} , stands as a potential indication of the existence of new physics. The Muon g-2 experiment at Fermilab is set to measure a_{μ} with a four-fold improvement in the uncertainty with respect to previous experiment, with an aim to determine whether the g-2 discrepancy is well established. The experiment recently completed its first physics run and a summer programme of essential upgrades, before continuing on with its experimental programme. The Run-1 data alone are expected to yield a statistical uncertainty of 350 ppb and the publication of the first result is expected in late-2019.

1 Introduction

The muon magnetic anomaly, $a_{\mu} = (g-2)_{\mu}/2$, endures as an long-standing test of the Standard Model (SM), where the ~ 3.5 σ (or higher) discrepancy between the experimental measurement a_{μ}^{exp} and the SM prediction a_{μ}^{SM} could be an indication of the existence of new physics beyond the SM. For a_{μ}^{SM} , work is ongoing to improve the estimates from all sectors of the SM, where in particular the hadronic contributions limit the total precision. Currently, the efforts of the *Muon* g - 2 *Theory Initiative* [1] and the groups involved within it show great progress and promise in improving the estimate of a_{μ}^{SM} and its uncertainty. A recent precise re-evaluation of the dominating hadronic vacuum polarisation contributions to a_{μ}^{SM} has resulted in $a_{\mu}^{SM} = (11\ 659\ 182.04 \pm 3.56) \times 10^{-10}$ [2] (for alternative evaluations of a_{μ}^{SM} , see other analyses as part of [1]). Comparing this with the current experimental world average value of $a_{\mu}^{exp} = (11\ 659\ 209.1\pm5.4_{stat}\pm3.3_{sys}) \times 10^{-10}$ [3] results in a deviation between theory and experiment of $\Delta a_{\mu} = (27.06 \pm 7.26) \times 10^{-10}$, corresponding to a 3.7σ discrepancy [2]. The value for a_{μ}^{exp} is entirely dominated by the measurements made at the Brookhaven National Laboratory (BNL) [4], which achieved an overall precision of 540 parts-per-billion (ppb). However, efforts are currently underway to improve the experimental estimate at the Muon g - 2 experiment at Fermilab (E989) [5] and also at the proposed future J-PARC experiment [6].

With the BNL measurement being statistics-limited, the goal of the E989 experiment is to measure a_{μ} with 20 times higher statistics, whilst also achieving a 2-3 times improvement in the systematic uncertainty [5]. The target total uncertainty is 140ppb, which is a factor ~ 4 improvement with respect to BNL. Assuming the hypothetical situation of the new experimental measurement at Fermilab yielding the same mean value for a_{μ}^{exp} as the BNL measurement but achieving the projected improvement in its uncertainty, the comparison with a_{μ}^{SM} would result in a g - 2 discrepancy of ~ 7σ [2].

The E989 experiment completed its first physics run, Run-1, during March - July 2018. Immediately following this, a summer programme of essential upgrades was implemented, to ensure that the experiment would deliver on both its statistics and systematics goals for Run-2 and beyond. After briefly describing the experimental techniques employed by the Muon g - 2 experiment at Fermilab to measure a_{μ} , this article will review the status of the experiment after the Run-1 data-taking period and discuss the outlook for the future.

^{*}e-mail: aikeshav@olemiss.edu

^{**}http://muon-g-2.fnal.gov/collaboration.html

2 Principles of the E989 measurement

2.1 Overview

Following the same methodology as the previous experiment at BNL, the Muon g - 2 experiment at FNAL injects longitudinally polarised muons into a storage ring with a magnetic dipole field $|\vec{B}| \sim 1.45$ T. In order to determine a_{μ} , the experiment measures two frequencies: the frequency ω_a at which the muon spin (polarisation) turns relative to its momentum and the value of the magnetic field normalised to the Larmor frequency of a free proton, ω_p .

Assuming a perfect vertical magnetic field, with a muon on the ideal orbit, the anomalous precession frequency $\vec{\omega}_a$ is defined as the difference between the spin frequency $\vec{\omega}_s$ and the cyclotron frequency $\vec{\omega}_c$. In the absence of any other external fields,

$$\vec{\omega}_a = \vec{\omega}_S - \vec{\omega}_C = \left(\frac{g-2}{2}\right) \frac{e}{mc} \vec{B} = a_\mu \frac{e}{mc} \vec{B}, \qquad (1)$$

as shown in Figure 1a. Note that should the gyromagnetic ratio g = 2 exactly, then it would follow that $\vec{\omega}_S = \vec{\omega}_C$ such that the muon spin would precess with the same frequency as the orbital frequency, resulting in $a_{\mu} = 0$.

Determining a_{μ} according to equation (1) requires evaluating the average magnetic field experienced by the stored muons. Therefore, the \vec{B} -field must be convoluted with the muon distribution by integrating all measured values of ω_p over the muon storage region. Once both ω_a and ω_p have been correctly extracted, the muon magnetic anomaly is calculated via [5]

$$a_{\mu} = \frac{g_e}{2} \frac{m_{\mu}}{m_e} \frac{\mu_p}{\mu_e} \frac{\omega_a}{\omega_p}, \qquad (2)$$

where g_e is the gyromagnetic ratio of the electron, m_{μ}/m_e is ratio of the muon and electron masses and μ_p/μ_e is the measured ratio of the proton and electron magnetic moments.

2.2 Producing and storing the muon beam

The accelerator complex at Fermilab was chosen due to its capability of producing a highpurity, intense muon beam, which provides an outstanding statistics advantages compared to the BNL experiment. An 8 GeV proton beam is fired at a target to produce pions. These pions are then injected into a delivery ring, in which the pions decay into muons via $\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_{\mu}$. A long decay channel for the pion decay into muons is provided by ~ 4 orbits of the delivery ring. During this time, any remaining protons and the resulting muons are separated by enough orbital distance that the protons can be safely discarded from the beam. The result is a ~ 96% longitudinally-polarised μ^+ beam consisting of 8×10^6 muons/sec with an energy of 3.094 GeV/c and a π^+ content of < 10^{-5} %. Not only does this allow for 21 times more decay positrons to be detected than at BNL, but the minimal proton and pion contamination also reduces the hadronic flash recorded by the detectors at beam injection by a factor of 20 compared to the previous experiment.

The BNL storage ring has been recommissioned for the Fermilab experiment. In 2013, it was transported 3,200 miles on a high-profile 35-day journey that received great interest from the wider physics community and the general public. To safely the deliver the muons to the experiment from the upstream beam-line, the beam is injected into the storage ring through a superconducting inflector magnet, which locally cancels the ~ 1.45 T storage \vec{B} -field to allow the muons to enter without being deflected.

As can be seen from Figure 1b, the muon beam is injected onto an orbit that is displaced by 11 mrads radially outward from the ideal orbit of the storage ring. In order to direct the



Figure 1: (a) The spin precession of muons utilised in order to measure a_{μ} . The blue arrows show the muon momentum, whilst the red arrows indicate the muon spin (and corresponding decay positron direction). (b) The injection and storage of muons, where the 3 kicker plates are shown in white at 90 degrees from the injection point. Here, P₀ is the "magic" muon momentum, R₀ is the orbit radius, B₀ is the field magnitude of the storage ring magnet and T_c is the cyclotron period.

beam back onto the correct trajectory requires a 'kick'. The requirements of each of the three kickers are to produce a ~ 300 Gauss field over 4 metres for 120 ns at 100 Hz as the muon beam pulse traverses through the domain of the kicker region. To provide vertical focusing, electrostatic quadrupoles are used to confine the muon beam in the storage region. The application of an electric field introduces a new term to equation (1), where relativistic particles feel a motional magnetic field proportional to $\vec{\beta} \times \vec{E}$. Here, $\vec{\beta}$ denotes the muon velocity and \vec{E} is the electric field. In full, the equation for $\vec{\omega}_a$ is

$$\vec{\omega}_a = \frac{e}{mc} \bigg[a_\mu \vec{B} - \left(a_\mu - \frac{1}{\gamma^2 - 1} \right) \vec{\beta} \times \vec{E} - a_\mu \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma + 1} \right) (\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{B}) \vec{\beta} \bigg], \tag{3}$$

where the third term additionally accounts for those muons whose motion is not perpendicular to the magnetic field. To first order, the second term in equation (3) vanishes for the choice of muons at the "magic" momentum of 3.094 GeV/c [7] and the majority of otff-momentum muons are removed using collimators. However, there persists a small momentum spread of remaining muons away from the magic momentum and some also experience a small amount of vertical pitching, corresponding to the second and third terms of equation (3) respectively. The magnitudes of these effects are determined via data analysis, allowing for well-known, sub-parts-per-million (ppm) systematic corrections and corresponding uncertainties to be applied to the measured ω_a .

2.3 Measuring the anomalous precession frequency, ω_a

In the storage ring, muons decay via the parity-violating weak process $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \bar{\nu}_{\mu} \nu_e$ into positrons. The higher-energy positrons are preferentially emitted along the direction of the muon spin, such that the detection of the arrival time and energy of the decay positrons above an appropriate energy cut can be used to infer the spin direction and extract ω_a . The primary detectors for this purpose are 24 calorimeters placed at equidistant positions on the inner radius of the storage ring, as shown by the blue rectangles in Figure 1a. These calorimeters record the oscillation in the number of detected positrons over time due to the spin precession. An example of this for reconstructed Run-1 data is shown in Figure 4b in Section 3.

Category	E821	E989 Improvement Plans	Goal	Source of uncertainty	2001	E989
	[ppb]		[ppb]	Systematics of calibration probes	50	35
Gain changes	120	Better laser calibration		Calibration of trolley probes	90	30
Pileun	80	Low-energy samples recorded	20	Trolley measurements of B_0	50	30
1 noup		calorimeter segmentation	40	Interpolation with fixed probes	70	30
Lost muons	90	Better collimation in ring	20	Uncertainty from muon distribution	30	10
CBO	70	Higher n value (frequency)		Inflector fringe field uncertainty	-	_
F and nitch	50	Better match of beamline to ring	< 30	Time dependent external B fields	-	5
E and pitch	50	Precise storage ring simulations	30	Others †	100	30
Total	180	Quadrature sum	70	Total systematic error on ω_p	170	70

(a) ω_a systematic uncertainty budget.

(b) ω_p systematic uncertainty budget.

Figure 2: The design systemic uncertainty goals of the measurements of ω_a and ω_p compared the final systematic uncertainty estimate from the BNL experiment [5]. All values are given in ppb.

In its simplest form, the analysis technique for the extraction of ω_a follows by fitting the data to the a five-parameter function of the form

$$f(t) = Ne^{-t/\tau} [1 + A\cos(\omega_a t + \phi)].$$
(4)

where N is the overall normalisation, τ is the boosted muon lifetime, A is the overall muon asymmetry and ϕ is the initial phase. There are several subtle variations and/or analyser choices possible when attempting this fitting procedure, resulting in many different analysis groups analysing the same data via alternate methods, each providing a consistency check of the others.

Due to the storage environment, the five-parameter function in equation (4) is not sufficient in describing all the relevant beam dynamics that contribute to the oscillation in the data rate that is detected. For example, the injection of the muon beam at a radial offset and an imperfect kick lead to coherent betatron oscillations (CBO) of the beam in the radial direction. The calorimeters are sensitive to this and other similar systematic effects. Therefore, extra terms are necessarily added to equation (4) to describe the additional beam dynamics and corresponding systematic uncertainties are evaluated. Other detector systems are also utilised that are invaluable in this regard. Two straw tracker stations, for example, measure the spatial profile of the stored muons, which help to determine the values of the two corrections (the *E*-field and pitch corrections) in equation (3), monitor the beam oscillations and measure the muon distribution to convolute with the magnetic field measurement. Additionally, a state-of-the-art laser calibration system has been developed that monitors and provides calorimeter gain stability to sub-per-mil accuracy [8].

The uncertainty budgets of the ω_a measurement over the course of the entirety of the Muon g - 2 experiment are expected to be 100 ppb statistical uncertainty and 70 ppb systematic uncertainty. The final systematic uncertainty goals for the measurement of ω_a are displayed in Figure 2a.

2.4 Measuring the magnetic field in terms of the proton NMR frequency, ω_p

The uniformity of the field of the storage ring magnet was achieved over an almost yearlong magnetic shimming process and is continuously maintained, with a field homogeneity of ± 40 ppm in any remaining variations. Measurements of the dipole field uniformity before and after the shimming programme are displayed in Figure 3. The *B*-field is monitored continuously using 400 inner-chamber, fixed NMR probes located above and below the storage



Figure 3: Measurements of the uniformity of the magnetic dipole field before (red) and after (blue) shimming.

region and is periodically measured by a trolley that traverses the storage region itself, mapping the magnetic field experienced locally by the muons using 17 additional NMR probes. For the contribution to a_{μ} , the absolute field value is determined from the measured ω_p using absolute field calibration probes and is convoluted with the muon distribution as measured by the trackers. The systematic uncertainties goals for the measurement of ω_p are displayed in Figure 2b.

2.5 Analysis and hardware blinding

The Muon g - 2 experiment is both hardware and software blinded. The 40MHz that clock drives the calorimeter digitizers, straw tracker and NMR digitisers has had an offset in the range ± 25 ppm applied to externally blind the time of the measured ω_a . Software blinding occurs at the analysis stage for both the ω_a and ω_p frequencies. In the ω_a analysis, analysers actually fit for the quantity *R* given in the expression

$$\omega_a = 2\pi \cdot 0.2291 \text{MHz} \cdot [1 - (R - \Delta R) \times 10^{-6}], \qquad (5)$$

where ΔR is a frequency offset that is unique to each analyser. In late stages of the analysis, before a final unblinding, relative unblinding exercises of these individual offsets to a common offset are performed for all analyses and datasets, allowing for internal comparison and consistency checks without the risk of conscious or unconscious bias.

3 Current experimental status following Run-1

During Run-1, the Muon g - 2 experiment recorded 17.5 billion positrons, almost twice the number recorded from both the combined μ^+ and μ^- runs of the BNL experiment. The analysable data after data quality cuts (DQC) is 1.38 times that of the BNL dataset, with a projected statistical uncertainty of 350 ppb. Figure 4a shows the accumulation of data over the course of Run-1. Figure 4b shows the fit-to-data of 0.95 billion positrons accumulated over 60 hours in mid-April 2018, corresponding to a statistical uncertainty of 1.3 ppm. The publication of the result from all data from Run-1 is expected in late-2019.

Studies of the performance during Run-1 showed that the muon storage was roughly half that of the original design specifications. This was, in-part, due to an underperformance of the kicker system, which was found to have a 30% deficit in required kick strength. Therefore, the summer shutdown that directly followed the end of Run-1 involved a substantial kicker system upgrade. Additional upgrades were performed to the electrostatic quadrupoles and the accelerator complex. The installation of a new open-ended inflector magnet has been planned for the end of Run-2 in the summer of 2019, which is projected to incur a further ~ 40% increase in the number of stored muons. Currently, the Muon g - 2 experiment is due to continue running for a further two years to obtain the full 20× BNL statistics dataset.



before quality cuts.

Figure 4: Data collected during Run-1 of the Muon q - 2 experiment.

4 Conclusions and outlook

The Muon g - 2 experiment at Fermilab is undertaking the formidable task of measuring a_{μ} with a target total uncertainty of 140ppb. The comparison of this measurement with the impressive SM prediction a_{μ}^{SM} will determine whether the current discrepancy between theory and experiment of ~ 3.5σ is well established. In in order to evaluate a_{μ} , the E989 experiment follows the principles of the BNL experiment to measure the muon anomalous precession frequency ω_a and the magnetic field in terms of ω_p . The first physics run and a summer programme of essential upgrades are already complete. During Run-1 alone, the experiment collected twice the number of positrons collected at the BNL experiment, which after DQC should yield a statistical uncertainty of 350 ppb. The first publication of the result from Run-1 is expected in late-2019.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the organisers of *International Workshop on* e^+e^- collisions from Phi to Psi (PhiPsi19) for a very productive and enjoyable workshop. This manuscript has been authored by an employee of The University of Mississippi, supported in-part by the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science, Office of High Energy Physics, award DE-SC0012391. This document was prepared by the Muon g-2 collaboration using the resources of the Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory (Fermilab), a U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, HEP User Facility. Fermilab is managed by Fermi Research Alliance, LLC (FRA), acting under Contract No. DE-AC02-07CH11359.

References

- [1] The Muon g 2 Theory Initiative, https://indico.fnal.gov/event/13795/.
- [2] A. Keshavarzi, D. Nomura and T. Teubner, Phys. Rev. D 97 114025 (2018).
- [3] C. Patrignani *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C, 40, 100001 (2016) and 2017 update.
- [4] G. W. Bennett *et al.* [Muon g-2 Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **89** 101804 (2002) [Erratum: Phys. Rev. Lett. **89** 129903 (2002)]; Phys. Rev. Lett. **92** 161802 (2004); Phys. Rev. D **73** 072003 (2006).
- [5] J. Grange et al. [Muon g-2 Collaboration], arXiv:1501.06858 [physics.ins-det].
- [6] T. Mibe [J-PARC g-2 Collaboration], Chin. Phys. C 34 745 (2010).
- [7] J. Bailey et al. [CERN-Mainz-Daresbury Collaboration], Nucl. Phys. B 150 1 (1979).
- [8] A. Anastasi et al., Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 842 86 (2017).