(New) CPV in charm decays into neutral kaons



Fu-Sheng Yu Lanzhou University

9th workshop on Charm Physics @ BINP, 2018.05.25

[D.Wang, FSY, H.n.Li, PRL119, 181802(2017); in ready]

CP Violation can occur in $D \rightarrow f K_s$



Belle: $A_{CP}^{D^+ \to K_S^0 \pi^+} = (-0.363 \pm 0.094 \pm 0.067)\%$

[PRL 109, 021601 (2012)]



Indirect CPV in kaon mixing $Re(\epsilon)=10^{-3}$



[Zhi-Zhong Xing, PLB 353, 313(1995)]

Direct CPV in charm decays

D(t)

 $Im(V_{cd}V_{us}/V_{cs}V_{ud})=\lambda^{6}=10^{-5}$



[Bigi, Yamamoto, PLB 349, 363 (1995)] 3



[D.Wang, FSY, H.n.Li, Phys.Rev.Lett 119, 181802(2017)]





Time-Integrated CPV



7

Belle: Evidence for CP Violation in the Decay $D^+ \to K_S^0 \pi^+$

PRL109,021601(2012) [arXiv:1203.6409]

$$A_{CP}^{D^{+} \to K_{S}^{0} \pi^{+}} \equiv \frac{\Gamma(D^{+} \to K_{S}^{0} \pi^{+}) - \Gamma(D^{-} \to K_{S}^{0} \pi^{-})}{\Gamma(D^{+} \to K_{S}^{0} \pi^{+}) + \Gamma(D^{-} \to K_{S}^{0} \pi^{-})}$$

$$= A_{CP}^{\Delta C} + A_{CP}^{\bar{K}^{0}}, \qquad (1)$$

$$A_{CP}^{D^{+} \to K_{S}^{0} \pi^{+}} = (-0.363 \pm 0.094 \pm 0.067)\% \quad \text{Belle}$$

$$A_{CP}^{\bar{K}^{0}} = (-0.339 \pm 0.007)\%$$

$$A_{CP}^{\Delta C} = (-0.024 \pm 0.115)\%$$

$$\text{Belle, '12}$$

Belle: Evidence for CP Violation in the Decay $D^+ \to K_S^0 \pi^+$

PRL109,021601(2012) [arXiv:1203.6409]

$$\begin{split} A_{CP}^{D^+ \to K_S^0 \pi^+} &\equiv \frac{\Gamma(D^+ \to K_S^0 \pi^+) - \Gamma(D^- \to K_S^0 \pi^-)}{\Gamma(D^+ \to K_S^0 \pi^+) + \Gamma(D^- \to K_S^0 \pi^-)} \\ &= A_{CP}^{\Delta C} + A_{CP}^{\bar{K}^0} + A_{CP}^{int} \\ A_{CP}^{D^+ \to K_S^0 \pi^+} &= (-0.363 \pm 0.094 \pm 0.067)\% \quad \text{Belle} \\ &A_{CP}^{\bar{K}^0} = (-0.339 \pm 0.007)\% \\ A_{CP}^{\Delta C} &= (-0.024 \pm 0.115)\% \quad A^{\Delta C} = (-0.006 \pm 0.115)\% \\ \text{Belle, '12} \qquad [Wang, FSY, Li, '17] \end{split}$$

LHCb: Search for *CP* violation in $D^+ \to \phi \pi^+ \text{ and } D^+_s \to K^0_S \pi^+ \text{ decays}$

JHEP 1306 (2013) 112, [arXiv:1303.4906]

$$A_{CP}(D^+ \to \phi \pi^+) = A_{raw}(D^+ \to \phi \pi^+) - A_{raw}(D^+ \to K_s^0 \pi^+) + A_{CP}(K^0/\overline{K}^0)$$

SCS CF as a control mode

Direct CPV in $D^+ \to K_s^0 \pi^+$ decay is assumed to be negligible.

BUT,
$$A_{CP}(D^+ \to \phi \pi^+) \leq \mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$$
 is expected

 $A_{CP}^{\text{int}}(D^+ \to K_S^0 \pi^+) \sim -0.4 \times 10^{-3}$ is comparable

New CPV effect is non-negligible!!!

Be careful when using D->pi KS as control mode, both at LHCb and Belle II

$$\Delta A_{CP} = A_{CP}(D^+ \to \pi^+ K_S^0) - A_{CP}(D_s^+ \to K^+ K_S^0)$$

$$New Observable$$

$$revealing$$

$$new CPV effect$$

$$A_{CP}(t) \simeq \left[A_{CP}^{\mathbb{F}^0}(t) + A_{CP}^{dir}(t) + A_{CP}^{int}(t)\right]$$

$$Cancel some systematic errors$$

$$(P LHCb \& Belle-II)$$

$$(Wang, FSY, Li, '17]$$

$$(P = A_{CP}(D^+ \to \pi^+ K_S^0) - A_{CP}(D_s^+ \to K^+ K_S^0)$$

New Physics in $D \rightarrow f K_{S^0}$

$$\left(A_{CP}^{dir} \sim 2r_f \sin\phi \sin\delta_f\right)$$

SM: $\phi \equiv Arg \left[-V_{cd}^* V_{us}/V_{cs}^* V_{ud}\right] = (-6.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$ NP: $\phi = O(1)$

Search for new physics at tree-level

$$\mathcal{A}(D \to fK_S^0) = \mathcal{A}_{CF}^{\mathrm{SM}} + \mathcal{A}_{DCS}^{\mathrm{SM}}(1 + r^{\mathrm{NP}}e^{i\phi^{\mathrm{NP}}}e^{i\delta^{\mathrm{NP}}})$$







Ambiguities in penguins

$$\Delta A_{CP}(K^+K^-, \pi^+\pi^-)$$

range from 10⁻⁵ to 10⁻² in literature

- @m_c~1.5GeV, perturbation theories do not work
- Tree diagrams extracted from branching fractions (Br)
- Penguin neglected in Br's

ΔAcp(KK,ππ)_{exp} <~ 𝒪(10⁻³)

uncertainties of Br's ~ $\mathcal{O}(\%)$

If CPV observed, cannot tell SM or NP



[many papers...]

Summary

- * New CPV effect is found in $D \rightarrow K_{S,L}f$
- It is accessible at Belle II and LHCb, and can not be neglected
- dCPV is promising to search for New Physics at tree level, compared to penguins in charm!!

Thank you for your attention!

Backups

Newest LHCb measurement, arXiv:1602.03160, PRL

$$\Delta A_{CP} \equiv A_{CP} \left(K^- K^+ \right) - A_{CP} \left(\pi^- \pi^+ \right)$$
$$\approx \Delta a_{CP}^{\text{dir}} \left(1 + \frac{\overline{\langle t \rangle}}{\tau} y_{CP} \right) + \frac{\Delta \langle t \rangle}{\tau} a_{CP}^{\text{ind}}$$
$$\Delta A_{CP} = \left[-0.10 \pm 0.08 \text{(stat)} \pm 0.03 \text{(syst)} \right] \%$$



$$D \to f K_S^0 (\to \pi^+ \pi^-)$$

$$A_{CP}(t) \equiv \frac{\Gamma_{\pi\pi}(t) - \Gamma_{\pi\pi}(t)}{\Gamma_{\pi\pi}(t) + \overline{\Gamma}_{\pi\pi}(t)}$$

$$\Gamma_{\pi\pi}(t) \equiv \Gamma(D \to fK_S^0(t) \to f[\pi\pi]_K)$$

$$\overline{\Gamma}_{\pi\pi}(t) \equiv \Gamma(\overline{D} \to \overline{f}K_S^0(t) \to \overline{f}[\pi\pi]_K)$$

$$\frac{\text{DCS}}{\text{CF}} \qquad \frac{\mathcal{A}(D \to K^0 f)}{\mathcal{A}(D \to \overline{K}^0 f)} = r e^{i(\phi + \delta)} \text{ strong phase}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{weak phase} \\ \sim \lambda^2 \sim 0.05 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{CP}^{\overline{K}^{0}}(t) &= 2e^{-\Gamma_{S}t}\mathcal{R}e(\epsilon) - 2e^{-\Gamma t}\Big[\mathcal{R}e(\epsilon)\cos(\Delta m t) \\ &+ \mathcal{I}m(\epsilon)\sin(\Delta m t)\Big], \end{aligned}$$

$$A_{CP}^{\mathrm{dir}}(t) = e^{-\Gamma_S t} 2r_f \sin \delta_f \sin \phi$$

$$A_{CP}^{\text{int}}(t) = -4r_f \cos\phi \sin\delta_f \left[e^{-\Gamma_S t} \mathcal{I}m(\epsilon) - e^{-\Gamma t} \left(\mathcal{I}m(\epsilon) \cos(\Delta m t) - \mathcal{R}e(\epsilon) \sin(\Delta m t) \right) \right]$$

$$\phi \equiv Arg \left[-V_{cd}^* V_{us} / V_{cs}^* V_{ud} \right] = (-6.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$$



Sensitive to New Physics CP phase

$$\Delta A_{CP}(D^+, D_s^+) \equiv A_{CP}^{D^+ \to \pi^+ K_S^0}(t_1, t_2) - A_{CP}^{D_s^+ \to K^+ K_S^0}(t_1, t_2)$$

$A_{CP}^{\overline{K}^0}$ is mode-independent and cancelled

Opposite sign of strong phases in the SU(3) symmetry Constructive in $\Delta A_{CP}(D^+, D_s^+)$

Interesting modes in experiments

LHCb:
$$A_{CP}(D^+ \to K_S \pi^+) - A_{CP}(D_s^+ \to K_S K^+)$$
 ~10⁻³

$$= \left[A_{raw}(D^+ \to K_S \pi^+) - A_{raw}(D^+ \to K^- \pi^+ \pi^+) \right]_{Br=9\%}$$
 $- \left[A_{raw}(D_s^+ \to K_S K^+) - A_{raw}(D_s^+ \to K^- \pi^+ K^+) \right]_{Br=5\%}$
and

$$A_{CP}^{\Lambda_c^+ \to pK}(t_1, t_2) - A_{CP}^{D^+ \to K\pi^+}(t_1, t_2)$$

= $[A_{raw}^{\Lambda_c^+ \to pK}(t_1, t_2) - A_{raw}^{\Lambda_c^+ \to pK^-\pi^+}]$
- $[A_{raw}^{D^+ \to K\pi^+}(t_1, t_2) - A_{raw}^{D^+ \to K^-\pi^+\pi^+}]$

Time-dependent & Time integrated CPV

time of $K_{\mbox{\scriptsize S}}$ flying





Advantages — $A_{CP}(D \rightarrow K_{S}f)$

- Less ambiguities. Only tree diagrams, easily established in theory, extracted from Br's.
 Compared to SCS processes with penguins.
 FAT approach works well.
 - In the SM, we don't know how large Acp is in SCS, but we do know it in CF and DCS.
- 2. More clear to signal NP. NP may have large CP phase

Advantages – ΔA_{CP}

- 3. Signal CPV in charm. A_{CP}(K⁰) cancelled
- 4. Order of 10⁻³ in SM, accessible by experiments in the near future
- 5. CPV is doubled in ΔA_{CP}, compared to individual A_{CP}
- 6. Large branching fractions to measure. CF processes.
- 7. Some systematic uncertainties cancelled



Left-Right Symmetric Model

 $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B-L} \to SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$

$$\begin{pmatrix} W_L^- \\ W_R^- \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \zeta & -\sin \zeta e^{iw} \\ \sin \zeta e^{-iw} & \cos \zeta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} W_1^- \\ W_2^- \end{pmatrix}$$

$$V_{CKM}^{R} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i\phi_{0}} & 0 \\ \cos \theta e^{i\phi_{1}} & 0 & -\sin \theta e^{i(\phi_{1} - \phi_{3})} \\ \sin \theta e^{i\phi_{2}} & 0 & \cos \theta e^{i(\phi_{2} - \phi_{3})} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{u} \xrightarrow{W_{1,2}} d, s$$

