## **Open bottom tetraquarks** and their relation to doubly charmed baryons

- Doubly bottom tetraquarks and <u>charmed bottom</u> tetraquarks will be discussed through the combined lens of lattice QCD and effective field theories.
- Existing experimental input from heavy mesons, heavy baryons, and a doubly charmed baryon will provide valuable insight.
- Prospects for experimental observation of open bottom tetraquarks will be considered.

## Binding in a light diquark

The strong force within qq is more attractive with I = 0 than with I = 1. Known baryon masses show the difference:



To make a tetraquark, replace the heavy quark Q with a heavy anti-diquark  $\overline{Q}Q$ . What is the binding within  $\overline{Q}\overline{Q}$ ?...

### Lattice QCD and lattice NRQCD

Lattice QCD is a first-principles approach to strong interactions. Statistical and systematic errors can be quantified and managed.

Typical lattice spacings are too large to handle a dynamical bottom quark. This is no problem because there is an effective field theory: lattice NRQCD.

The lattice NRQCD Hamiltonian is a familiar non-relativistic expansion:

$$\begin{split} H &= -\frac{\Delta^{(2)}}{2M_0} - c_1 \frac{(\Delta^{(2)})^2}{8M_0^3} + \frac{c_2}{U_0^4} \frac{ig}{8M_0^2} (\tilde{\Delta} \cdot \tilde{E} - \tilde{E} \cdot \tilde{\Delta}) \\ &- \frac{c_3}{U_0^4} \frac{g}{8M_0^2} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\tilde{\Delta} \times \tilde{E} - \tilde{E} \times \tilde{\Delta}) \\ &- \frac{c_4}{U_0^4} \frac{g}{2M_0} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \tilde{B} + c_5 \frac{a^2 \Delta^{(4)}}{24M_0} - c_6 \frac{a(\Delta^{(2)})^2}{16nM_0^2} \end{split}$$

Lepage,Magnea,Nakleh,Magnea,Hornbostel,PRD46(1992)4052

Interactions with gluons (and thus light quarks) come through  $ilde{E}$ ,  $ilde{B}$  and  $ilde{\Delta}$ .

#### Hadron spectrum from lattice QCD



Particle Data Group, 2017

#### ccq and ccc baryons from lattice QCD



Particle Data Group, 2017

Note: The LHCb  $\Xi_{cc}$  measurement came after the lattice predictions above.

The original quenched lattice QCD predictions also agree: Lewis, Mathur, Woloshyn, PRD64(2001)094509 Mathur, Lewis, Woloshyn, PRD66(2002)014502

# $ar{Q}ar{Q}$ binding energy

Lattice QCD shows the approach toward  $m_Q \rightarrow \infty$  for QQ = ss, cc, bb.



The cc baryon mass differences are from lattice QCD. The bb baryon mass differences are from lattice NRQCD.

Data from Brown, Detmold, Meinel, Orginos, PRD90(2014)094507

# Stability of $qq'ar{Q}ar{Q}$ tetraquarks

For sufficiently heavy Q, there is a strong-interaction stable  $qq'\bar{Q}\bar{Q}$  tetraquark.

• Consider decay into two mesons:

$$E(q\bar{Q}) + E(q'\bar{Q}) - E(qq'\bar{Q}\bar{Q}) = \frac{2}{9}m_Q\alpha_s^2 \quad \text{(which is positive)}$$

Therefore this tetraquark cannot decay to two mesons.

Carlson, Heller, Tjon, PRD37(1988)744

• Consider decay into two baryons:

$$E(qq'q'') + E(\bar{q}''\bar{Q}\bar{Q}) - E(qq'\bar{Q}\bar{Q}) = E(qq'q'') + E(\bar{q}''Q) - E(qq'Q)$$
  

$$\geq E(\text{proton}) + E(\bar{q}''Q) - E(qq'Q)$$

Known masses for charm and bottom confirm that the right-hand side is positive. Therefore this tetraquark cannot decay into two baryons.

Eichten, Quigg, PRL119(2017)202002

Is the bottom quark sufficiently heavy?...

## Lattice results for $udar{b}ar{b}$ and $\ell sar{b}ar{b}$ tetraquarks



Results are from a correlation matrix of two  $I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$  operators:

 $(u_a^T C \gamma_5 d_b) (\bar{b}_a C \gamma_i \bar{b}_b^T) \quad \text{ and } \quad (\bar{b}_a \gamma_5 u_a) (\bar{b}_b \gamma_i d_b) - (\bar{b}_a \gamma_5 d_a) (\bar{b}_b \gamma_i u_b)$ 

#### Independent confirmation



Junnarkar, Padmanath, Mathur, EPJWebConf175(2018)05014

Compared to our study, these authors • use the same two operators,

- use the same lattice NRQCD action,
- use a different light quark action.
- use a coarser lattice and a finer lattice,
- include some heavier values for  $m_{\pi}$ .

#### Lattice QCD and the Born-Oppenheimer approximation

- 1. Lattice QCD gives the static  $\bar{Q}\bar{Q}$  potential, V(r). (2 light quarks are present.) 2. V(r) is fit to a phenomenologically motivated continuous function.
- 3. Solve the Schrödinger equation with  $H_{\bar{b}\bar{b}} = \frac{p^2}{2\mu} + 2m_H + V(r)$ .



The resulting binding energy for a  $0(1^+)$   $ud\bar{b}\bar{b}$  tetraquark is  $-90^{+46}_{-42} \text{ MeV}$  for  $m_H = m_B$  $-93^{+47}_{-43} \text{ MeV}$  for  $m_H = m_b$ 

Others are found to be unbound, including  $ss\bar{b}\bar{b}$  and  $cc\bar{b}\bar{b}$ .

Bicudo, Cichy, Peters, Wagenbach, Wagner, PRD92(2015)014507

### A phenomenological picture



The  $\bar{Q}\bar{Q}$  acts like a single heavy object. It is surrounded by light d.o.f of QCD.

$$r_{QQ} \sim rac{1}{M_Q}$$
 and  $R_q \sim rac{1}{\Lambda_{\sf QCD}}$   
( $M_Q$  is the reduced mass of the pair.

Expand in  $\frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{M_Q}$ . (Recall lattice NRQCD.)

Various models incorporate this idea and find (a)  $ud\overline{b}\overline{b}$  is bound, (b)  $ud\overline{c}\overline{c}$  is unbound,

and (c)  $ud\bar{c}\bar{b}$  is unbound or barely bound.

Ader, Richard, Taxil, PRD25(1982)2270 Lipkin, PLB172(1986)242 Manohar, Wise, NPB399(1993)17 Karliner, Rosner, PRL119(2017)202001 Eichten, Quigg, PRL119(2017)202002 Czarnecki, Leng, Voloshin, PLB778(2018)233

# Lattice study of $ar{Q}ar{Q}$ and $ar{b}ar{Q}$ tetraquarks

The  $\bar{Q}$  mass is varied to see how the tetraquark binding is affected.



Francis, Hudspith, Lewis, Maltman, work in progress

### An exploration of $ucar{b}ar{b}$ (preliminary)



Junnarkar, Padmanath, Mathur, work in progress

# Ideas for $ar{b}ar{b}$ tetraquark decays

The lifetime of a  $ud\bar{b}\bar{b}$  tetraquark is  $O(\text{half the }B \text{ meson lifetime}) \sim 0.8 \text{ ps}$ because either  $\bar{b}$  can decay.

The  $\ell s \overline{b} \overline{b}$  tetraquarks have interesting two-body decays:



branching fraction:  $\mathcal{B} \sim O(10^{-3})$ ?

(Similar decays for the  $ud\bar{b}\bar{b}$  tetraquark are CKM suppressed by  $V_{cd}^2 \approx 0.05$ .)

## Some $ar{b}ar{b}$ tetraquark decay channels



## Excited $ar{b}ar{b}$ tetraquark states?

• Compare spin-averaged I = 1 and I = 0 baryon masses:  $\overline{\Sigma} - \Lambda = 205 \text{ MeV}$  $\overline{\Sigma}_c - \Lambda_c = 210 \text{ MeV}$  $\overline{\Sigma}_b - \Lambda_b = 207 \text{ MeV}$ 

From this, quark-diquark symmetry predicts a similar splitting for tetraquarks.

We already saw lattice evidence for  $I = 0 \ u d\bar{b}\bar{b}$  binding near 200 MeV. Therefore the  $I = 1 \ u d\bar{b}\bar{b}$  could be near threshold.

• Notice that distinguishable heavy quarks allow more tetraquark options. In particular,  $ud\bar{c}\bar{b}$  tetraquarks can be  $I(J^P) = 0(0^+)$  or  $0(1^+)$ .

Some predictions put them both near threshold, but there is no consensus yet.

## $udar{b}ar{b}$ tetraquark resonances

V(r) is obtained from lattice QCD and the Born-Oppenheimer approximation. A resonance appears as a pole on the second Riemann sheet at  $m - i\Gamma/2$ .



This resonance has  $I(J^P)=0(1^-)$ ,  $m=2m_B+17\pm 4$  MeV, and  $\Gamma=112^{+90}_{-103}$  MeV.

Bicudo, Cardoso, Peters, Pfaumer, Wagner, PRD96(2017)054510

## Summary

To work in QCD directly, use lattice calculations and effective field theories.

- Consistency is observed among various approaches.
- $\bullet$  The recent measurement of the  $\Xi_{cc}$  mass provides useful input.
- The  $I(J^P)=0(1^+) \ ud\bar{b}\bar{b}$  and  $\ell s\bar{b}\bar{b}$  tetraquarks decay only weakly.
- Tetraquark excited states and resonances are being studied.
- The possibility of open charmed tetraquarks is also being pursued.

Model approaches have also been used to study open bottom tetraquarks.

- There are too many to describe in this brief presentation. For example, see the references in Luo,Chen,Liu,Zhu,EPJC77(2017)709.
- $\bullet$  Here too, the recent measurement of the  $\Xi_{cc}$  mass provides useful input.