Meson production in e+e- annihilation and tau-lepton decays within extended NJL model

Andrej Arbuzov

BLTP, JINR, Dubna

#### **12th International workshop on e+e- collisions from Phi to Psi, Novosibirsk**

February 27th, 2019

1



## Outline

- Motivation
- The extended NJL model
- Processes of e+e- annihilation into mesons
- Hadronic decays of tau leptons
- Discussion and future plans



## The goals and motivation

Theretical description of several classes of processes with meson interactions at energies up to 2 GeV

- verification of the NJL models on a new class of tasks
- definition of its aplicability domain
- theoretical support of experimental programs
- construction of theoretical predictions
- "pinpointing" of exotic mesons with small masses

N.B. Approaches to chiral symmetry breaking, confinement etc.

### The Nambu-Jona-Lasinio model

Very simple four-fermion (current x current) interactions with **chiral symmetry** 

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}^{(4)} = \frac{G_1}{2} \int d^4x \sum_{j=1}^9 \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[ J_{S,i}^j(x) J_{S,i}^j(x) + J_{P,i}^j(x) J_{P,i}^j(x) \right] - \int d^4x \sum_{j=1}^9 \sum_{i=1}^2 \left( \frac{G_2}{2} J_{V,i}^{j,\mu}(x) J_{V,i,\mu}^j(x) + \frac{G_3}{2} J_{A,i}^{j,\mu}(x) J_{A,i,\mu}^j(x) \right)$$

The model effectively describes spontaneous breaking of the chiral symmetry
[1] Y. Nambu, G. Jona-Lasinio, Phys. Rev. 1961
[2] T. Eguchi, PRD 1976; K. Kikkawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. 1976
[3] D. Ebert, M.K. Volkov, Z.Phys. C 1983; M.K.Volkov, Ann. Phys. 1984
NJL model derived from QCD:
[4] B.A. Arbuzov, M.K. Volkov, I.V. Zaitsev, IJMPA 2006; ibid. 2009

# NJL Model



• Currents: 
$$J^{j}_{S(P),i}(x) = \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 \,\bar{q}(x_1) F^{j}_{S(P),i}(x;x_1,x_2) q(x_2)$$
,

$$J_{V(A),i}^{j,\mu}(x) = \left[ d^4 x_1 d^4 x_2 \bar{q}(x_1) F_{V(A),i}^{j,\mu}(x;x_1,x_2) q(x_2) \right],$$

• In the standard NJL model form factors are local like:

$$F_{A,1}^{j,\,\mu}(x;x_1,x_2) = \gamma_5 \gamma^{\,\mu} \tau^{\,j} \delta(x_1 - x) \delta(x_2 - x)$$

• `t Hooft 6-quark interaction is added to cure the UA(1) problem:  $C^{(6)} = V[det[f(1+u)] + det[f(1+u)]]$ 

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}^{(6)} = -K \Big\{ \det \left[ \bar{q}(1+\gamma_5)q \right] + \det \left[ \bar{q}(1-\gamma_5)q \right] \Big\}$$



## The extended NJL model

To include the first radial excited states of mesons, the NJL model is extended by introduction of simple polinomial form factors in currents, e.g. for the pseudoscalar one

$$F_{P,2}^{j}(\mathbf{k}^{2}) = i\gamma_{5}\tau^{j}c_{P}^{j}f_{j}(\mathbf{k}^{2}) \qquad f_{j}(\mathbf{k}^{2}) \equiv 1 + d_{j}\mathbf{k}^{2}$$
where  $c_{P}^{j}$  is a constant,  $d_{j}$  is the slope parameter, and  $\mathbf{k}$  is the quark 3-momentum.  
Parameters  $c_{P}^{j}$  are fixed from static observables,  $d_{j}$  - from unchanged quark

The chiral symmetry is preserved and there are no any additional parameters for interaction of the excited mesons

[1] M. K. Volkov, C. Weiss, Phys. Rev. D 56, 221 (1997).
[2] M. K. Volkov, A.B. Arbuzov, Usp. Fiz. Nauk 187 (2017).

## Quark-meson Lagrangian

• Interactions:  $L_{int} = L_{SM} + L_{NJL}$ 

$$L_{NJL} = \overline{q}(i\gamma_5 \sum_{j=\pm} \lambda_j (a_K K^j + b_K K^{\prime j}) + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_\mu \lambda_V (a_V V_\mu + b_V V_\mu^{\prime}))q$$

$$a_a = \frac{1}{\sin(2\theta_a^0)} \left[ g_a \sin(\theta_a + \theta_a^0) + g'_a f_a(\vec{k}^2) \sin(\theta_a - \theta_a^0) \right]$$
$$b_a = \frac{-1}{\sin(2\theta_a^0)} \left[ g_a \cos(\theta_a + \theta_a^0) + g'_a f_a(\vec{k}^2) \cos(\theta_a - \theta_a^0) \right]$$

M.K. Volkov and A.B. Arbuzov, PEPAN 47, 489 (2016)

 $e+e- \rightarrow K+K-$ 

The amplitude includes the contact Feynman diagram with direct interaction of virtual photon with quarks and diagrams with intermediate vector mesons:

$$T = \frac{16\pi\alpha_{em}}{s} l^{\mu} \Big[ B_{(\gamma)} + B_{(\rho+\rho')} + B_{(\omega+\omega')} + e^{i\pi} B_{(\varphi+\varphi')} \Big]_{\mu\nu} \Big( p_{K^{+}} - p_{K^{-}} \Big)^{\nu}$$
  
The contact diagram contribution reads  
$$B_{(\gamma)_{\mu\nu}} = g_{\mu\nu} I_{2}^{a_{K}a_{K}} (m_{u}, m_{s})$$
$$e^{-\lambda}$$



 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$ 

Contributions of intermediate vector mesons:

$$B_{(V+V')_{\mu\nu}} = r_V \left[ \frac{C_V}{g_V} \frac{g_{\mu\nu}s - p_{\mu}p_{\nu}}{M_V^2 - s - i\sqrt{s}\Gamma_V(s)} I_2^{a_Va_Ka_K} + \frac{C_V}{g_V} \frac{g_{\mu\nu}s - p_{\mu}p_{\nu}}{M_{V'}^2 - s - i\sqrt{s}\Gamma_V(s)} I_2^{b_{\nu}a_Ka_K} \right],$$

$$r_\rho = r_{\rho'} = 1/2, r_\omega = r_{\omega'} = 1/6, r_{\varphi} = r_{\varphi'} = 1/3.$$



M.N. Achasov et al., Phys.Rev. D **76**, 072012 (2007) J.P. Lees et al. [BaBar Collaboration], Phys.Rev. D **88**,

9





 $e+e- \rightarrow K+K-$ 

#### **Comparisons of separate contributions with results of other models:**

4]
98
99
39
56
19
06



[4] S.A. Ivashyn and A.Y. Korchin, Eur.Phys. J. C **49**, 697 (2007). [14] C. Bruch, A. Khodjamirian and J.H. Kuhn, Eur.Phys.J. C **39**, 41 (2005).

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$ 





**Figure 4.** Comparison of experimental results for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$  with the NJL model prediction.

13

	A good description of the following annihilation processes was achieved:					
$e^+$	$e^- \rightarrow [\pi, \pi(1300)]\gamma$	A.B. Arbuzov, E.A. Kuraev, M.K. Volkov, EPJA 47 (2011) 103				
$e^+$	$e^- \rightarrow [\eta, \eta'(958), \eta(1295), \eta(1475)]\gamma$	A.I. Ahmadov, D.G. Kostunin, M.K. Volkov, PRC 87 (2013) 045203				
$e^+$	$e^- \to [f_1(1285), a_1(1260)]\gamma$	M.K. Volkov, A.A. Pivovarov and A.A. Osipov, IJMPA 32 (2017) 1750123				
$e^+$	$e^- \rightarrow [\pi, \pi(1300)]\pi$	M.K. Volkov and D.G. Kostunin, PRC 86 (2012) 025202				
$e^+$	$e^- \rightarrow \omega(782)\pi^0$	A.B. Arbuzov, E.A. Kuraev and M.K. Volkov, PRC 83 (2011) 048201				
$e^+$	$e^-  ightarrow  ho(770)\eta$	M.K. Volkov, K.Nurlan, A.A. Pivovarov, JETP Lett. 106 (2017) 771				
$e^+$ $e^+$	$\begin{array}{ll} e^{-} & \to & K^{\pm}[K^{*\mp}(892), K^{*\mp}(1410)], \\ e^{-} & \to [\eta, \eta^{'}(958)][\phi(1020), \phi(1680)] \end{array}$	M.K. Volkov, A.A. Pivovarov, IJMPA 31 (2016) 1650155				
$e^+$	$e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-$	M.K. Volkov, K. Nurlan, A.A. Pivovarov, PRC 98 (2018) 015206				
$e^+$	$e^- \rightarrow [\eta, \eta'(958)]2\pi$	M.K. Volkov, A.B. Arbuzov, D.G. Kostunin, PRC 89 (2014) 015202	14			

Predictions were obtained for:

$$\begin{array}{l} e^+e^- \to \pi(1300)\gamma, \\ e^+e^- \to [\eta'(958), \eta(1295), \eta(1475)]\gamma, \\ e^+e^- \to [f_1(1285), a_1(1260)]\gamma, \\ e^+e^- \to \pi(1300)\pi, \\ e^+e^- \to K^\pm K^{*\mp}(1410), \\ e^+e^- \to \eta'(958)\phi(1020), \\ e^+e^- \to \eta, \phi(1680), \\ e^+e^- \to \eta'(958)2\pi \end{array}$$





Contact diagram

#### Diagrams with intermediate vector mesons

$$T = -i4m_{u}\frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}}l_{\mu}V_{ud}\left\{I_{3}^{\gamma\rho\eta}g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{C_{\rho}}{g_{\rho}}I_{3}^{\rho\rho\eta}\frac{g_{\mu\nu}s - p_{\mu}p_{\nu}}{m_{\rho}^{2} - s - i\sqrt{s}\Gamma_{\rho}} + \frac{C_{\rho'}}{g_{\rho}}I_{3}^{\rho'\rho\eta}\frac{g_{\mu\nu}s - p_{\mu}p_{\nu}}{m_{\rho'}^{2} - s - i\sqrt{s}\Gamma_{\rho'}}\right\}_{\mu\nu}\varepsilon_{\nu\lambda\delta\sigma}e_{\lambda}(p)p_{\rho}^{\delta}p_{\eta}^{\sigma}$$
$$Br(\tau \to \rho\eta\nu_{\tau})_{NJL} = 1.44 \times 10^{-3} \qquad Br(\tau \to 2\pi\eta\nu_{\tau})_{exp} = (1.39 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-3}$$

# **Decay** $\tau \to \eta K^- \nu_{\tau}$ (I)



The decay  $\tau \to \eta K^- \nu_{\tau}$  with the intermediate vector  $K^*(892)$ ,  $K^*(1410)$  and scalar  $K_0^*(800)$ ,  $K_0^*(1430)$ 

**Decay** 
$$\tau \rightarrow \eta K^- \nu_{\tau}$$
 (II)

$$Br(\tau \to \eta K^- \nu_\tau) = 1.54 \cdot 10^{-4}$$

$$Br(\tau \to \eta K^{-}\nu_{\tau})_{exp} = (1.58 \pm 0.14) \cdot 10^{-4}, [30]$$
$$Br(\tau \to \eta K^{-}\nu_{\tau})_{exp} = (1.42 \pm 0.18) \cdot 10^{-4}, [31]$$
$$Br(\tau \to \eta K^{-}\nu_{\tau})_{exp} = (1.52 \pm 0.08) \cdot 10^{-4}. [34]$$

$$Br(\tau \to \eta' K^- \nu_{\tau}) = 1.25 \cdot 10^{-6}$$

$$Br(\tau \to \eta' K^- \nu_\tau)_{exp} < 2.4 \cdot 10^{-6}$$



	Process	NJL (Br)	Experiment (Br)	Publication
	$ au  o \pi  u_{ au}$	11.04%	$(10.82 \pm 0.05)\%$	M.K.Volkov, A.B.Arbuzov, Phys. Usp. 60
	$\tau \to \pi(1300)\nu_{\tau}$	$9.8  imes 10^{-5}$	$(10 \div 19) \times 10^{-5}$	(2017) 643
	$\tau \to K^*(892)\nu_{\tau}$	1.15%	$(1.2 \pm 0.07)\%$	M.K.Volkov, K.Nurlan, PEPAN Lett. 14
	$\tau \to K^*(1410)\nu_{\tau}$	0.23%	(0.15 + 1.4 - 1)	(2017) 677
	$\tau \to K_1(1270)\nu_{\tau}$	0.4%	$(0.47 \pm 0.11)\%$	
	$\tau \to K_1(1650)\nu_{\tau}$	$2.99\times10^{-4}$	-	
	$\tau \to a_1(1260)\nu_{\tau}$	14.1%	-	
	$\tau \to a_1(1640)\nu_{\tau}$	0.63%	-	
	$\tau \to \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$	24.76%	$(25.49\pm 0.09)\%$	M.K.Volkov, D.G.Kostunin, PEPAN Lett. 10 (2013) 7
	$\tau \to \pi \omega(782) \nu_{\tau}$	1.85%	$(1.95 \pm 0.06)\%$	M.K.Volkov, A.B.Arbuzov, D.G.Kostunin,
				PRD 86 (2012) 057301
	$\tau \to \eta \pi^- \nu_{\tau}$	$4.72 \times 10^{-6}$	$<9.9 imes10^{-5}$	M.K.Volkov, D.G.Kostunin, PRD 86
	$\tau \to \eta'(958)\pi^-\nu_{\tau}$	$3.74\times10^{-8}$	$< 4  imes 10^{-6}$	$(2012) \ 013005$
	$ au  o K^- \pi^0  u_{ au}$	$4.13\times10^{-3}$	$(4.33 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$	M.K.Volkov, A.A.Pivovarov, MPLA 31 (2016) 1650043
	$\tau \to \eta K^- \nu_{\tau}$	$1.45\times10^{-4}$	$(1.55 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-4}$	M.K.Volkov, A.A.Pivovarov, JETP Lett. 103
	$\tau \to \eta'(958) K^- \nu_{\tau}$	$1.25\times10^{-6}$	$<2.4\times10^{-6}$	(2016) 613
	$ au  ightarrow K^0 K^-  u_{ au}$	$1.27  imes 10^{-3}$	$(1.48 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-3}$	M.K.Volkov, A.A.Pivovarov, MPLA 31 (2016) 1650138
	$\tau \to \rho(770)\eta\nu_{\tau}$	$1.44 \times 10^{-3}$	-	M.K.Volkov, K.Nurlan, A.A.Pivovarov,
				JETP Lett. 106 (2017) 771
	$\tau \to \bar{K}^{*0}(892)\pi^-\nu_{\tau}$	$1.78  imes 10^{-3}$	$(2.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$	M.K.Volkov, A.A.Pivovarov,
	, , ,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	JETP Lett. 108, (2018) 369
1	$\tau \to f_1(1285)\pi^-\nu_\tau$	$3.98  imes 10^{-4}$	$(3.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$	M.K.Volkov, A.A.Pivovarov, A.A.Osipov,
	- , /		- *	EPJA 54 (2018) 61
	$\tau \to \eta 2 \pi \nu_{\tau}$	$1.46\times 10^{-3}$	$(1.39\pm0.07) imes10^{-3}$	M.K.Volkov, A.B.Arbuzov, D.G.Kostunin,
	$\tau \to \eta'(958)2\pi\nu_{\tau}$	$9 \times 10^{-7}$	$< 1.2 \times 10^{-5}$	PRC 89 (2014) 015202

**Preliminary:**  $\tau \rightarrow [\omega(782), \phi(1020)]K^-\nu_{\tau}$ 







# **Preliminary:** $\tau \rightarrow [\omega(782), \phi(1020)]K^-\nu_{\tau}$

- Intemediate mesons: K, K(1460), K<sub>1</sub>(1270), K<sub>1</sub>(1560), K<sup>\*</sup>(892), K<sup>\*</sup>(1410) but K<sub>1</sub>(1400) is also possible. It is a tetraquark candiadate
- NJL + tetraquark gives:
   Br(τ → Kω(782)ν<sub>τ</sub>)<sub>tot</sub> = 3.2 × 10<sup>-4</sup>
   Br(τ → Kφ(1020)ν<sub>τ</sub>)<sub>tot</sub> = 2.3 × 10<sup>-5</sup>
   PDG: 4.1(0.9) 10<sup>-4</sup> and 4.4(1.6) 10<sup>-5</sup>





# Mixing of eta mesons

- Four-by-four mixing scheme is adapted: the ground and the first radial excited states of eta and eta prime are mixed all together:
- η(550), η`(958),
- η(1295), η(1475)

$R_{i,j}$	η	ή	η′	<i>η</i> ′
$\varphi_1^8$	0,71	0,62	-0,32	0,56
$\varphi_2^8$	0,11	-0,87	-0,48	-0,54
$\varphi_1^9$	0,62	0,19	0,56	-0,67
$\varphi_2^9$	0,06	-0,66	0,30	0,82

• But  $\eta(1405)$  is missed, it is a pseudoscalar glueball candidate



## The Vector Meson Dominance

- The vector meson dominance (VMD) (Sakurai '1960) automatically appears in the standard NJL model after summing up the contributions with intermediate photon and ρ meson in the ground state
- In tau lepton decays the VMD appears in transitions of W boson into axial-vactor mesons
- In the extended NJL model the VMD works only for the ground states of intermediate mesons, but fails for the first radial excited states



## Discussion and future plans

- A series of 30+ papers on the subject was published by M.K.Volkov & co. since 2011
- Whole classes of processes are systematically considered within the same model without changing parameter values
- Theoretical predictions for future experiments were constructed
- The extended NJL model succesfully works for e+e- annihilation and tau lepton decays at energies up to ~2 GeV
- A certain number of problems was revealed, they can be treated as indications of light exotic mesons: eta(1405), a0(980), a1(1410), f0(1500) etc.
- The nearest plans concern processes with axial-vector currents
- General lessons on effective QFT models: hints on symmetry breaking and energy scales
- Applications for hot dense matter...