# **LHCO** Study of application of the Multi-Wire Proportional Chambers ( of the LHCb MUON detector at very high rates

<u>Dmitriy Ilyin</u><sup>*a*</sup>, Nikolai Bondar<sup>*a*,*b*</sup>, Oleg Maev<sup>*a*</sup> on behalf of the LHCb MUON group

a - Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute NRC Kurchatov Institute (PNPI NRC KI), Gatchina, Russia b - European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), Geneva, Switzerland

LHCb detector is forward arm-spectrometer and aimed for studies of heavy-flavour physics at the LHC. Its core program is focused on the study of exclusive final states from the decays of b- and c-hadrons.



### Sub-detectors:

- Vertex Locator VELO
- Hadron ID Cherenkov detectors (RICH1, RICH2)
- Tracking system silicon microstrip detector (IT) and straw drift tubes (OT)
- Calorimeters ECAL, HCAL
- Particles ID and tracking Muon stations M1-M5 with iron absorbers between stations



## **Current LHCb detector [1]**

RICH1, RICH2 - Ring Imaging Cherenkov detectors Trigger Tracker (TT) - silicon microstrip detector T1, T2, T3 = Tracking stations 1..3SPD/PS = Scintillating Pad Detector/Preshower ECAL = Electromagnetic Calorimeter HCAL = Hadron Calorimeter M1..M5 = Muon stations

## **Evolution of the LHCb detector**



### LHCb detector after Upgrade 1 [2]

UT = Upstream Tracker OT/IT → SciFi Tracker = Scintillating Fibre Tracker New Software trigger (40MHz) instead of L0 HW trigger (1MHz) M1 station is removed (was used for L0 HW trigger) Background shielding: Beam plug attenuator for Muon detector (before Run3) HCAL will be replaced by Fe-Wall shield (before Run4)



#### LHCb detector after Upgrade 2 [3]

Magnet stations (low-momentum tracker) High granularity silicon tracker (for IT) Fast timing detector (TORCH) for RICH Tungsten ECAL Neutron shielding Deep upgrade of Muon detector

## **Present Muon Detector (MD)**

**MD** provides the LHCb experiment with a trigger for b-hadron decay channels containing muons in the final state.

The detector consists of 5 stations M1..M5 are interleaved with iron absorbers to select penetrating muons.

Each station is divided into four regions R1..R4 with the ratios of areas 1:4:16:64, while the irradiation per unit area decreases.

#### The whole detector comprises 1380 chambers (1368 MWPCs and 12 GEMs — only M1R1 chambers) for a total area of 435 m<sup>2</sup> and ~ **122k physical channels.**

Since the requirements on spatial resolution and rate capability strongly vary in different stations and regions, different chamber segmentations and different readout techniques were employed (see Table).

In the outer regions (R4) there are large wire pads while in the inner regions(R1..R3) there are small cathode pads(R3) or smaller logical pads(R1, R2) obtained by crossing cathode pads with narrow wire strips.

For muon ID and triggering efficiency > 95% the efficiency of each muon station must exceed 99% within a time window 25ns (40MHz) to unambiguously identify the LHC bunch crossing.



Left: Quadrant of a muon station. Each rectangle is one chamber. There are 276 pcs for each station. Right: division into logical pads of 4 chambers of 4 regions (the same for all stations).

					Basic info about
M1	M2	M3	M4	M5	
1210	1527	1647	1767	1887	stations M1-M5 and
384	480	518	556	594	regions R1-R4.
320	400	432	464	495	Dimensions (cm):
$[24 \times 8]$	$[48 \times 8]$	$[48 \times 8]$	$[12 \times 8]$	$[12 \times 8]$	
$1 \times 2.5$	0.63  imes 3.1	0.67  imes 3.4	2.9  imes 3.6	$3.1 \times 3.9$	z — distanse to the IP;
	$(0.5 \times 2.5)$	$(0.5 \times 2.5)$	$(2 \times 2.5)$	$(2 \times 2.5)$	$\Delta x$ . $\Delta y$ — dimension of
$[24 \times 4]$	$[48 \times 4]$	$[48 \times 4]$	$[12 \times 4]$	$[12 \times 4]$	
$2 \times 5$	$1.25 \times 6.3$	$1.35 \times 6.8$	$5.8 \times 7.3$	$6.2 \times 7.7$	quadrant. Rows R1-R4
	$(1 \times 5)$	$(1 \times 5)$	$(4 \times 5)$	$(4 \times 5)$	show granularity (X*Y):
$[24 \times 2]$	$[48 \times 2]$	$[48 \times 2]$	$[12 \times 2]$	$[12 \times 2]$	1 - number of logical
$4 \times 10$	2.5  imes 12.5	2.7  imes 13.5	$11.6 \times 14.5$	$12.4 \times 15.5$	I - Humber Of logical
	$(2 \times 10)$	$(2 \times 10)$	$(8 \times 10)$	$(8 \times 10)$	pads, 2 - logical pad
$[12 \times 1]$	$[24 \times 1]$	$[24 \times 1]$	$[6 \times 1]$	$[6 \times 1]$	size. 3 - size of the
$8 \times 20$	$5 \times 25$	$5.4 \times 27$	$23.1 \times 29$	$24.8 \times 30.9$	logical pada projected
	$(4 \times 20)$	$(4 \times 20)$	$(16 \times 20)$	$(16 \times 20)$	logical paus projected
					onto station M1.

## The main challenges at high rates

In Run3&4 planned luminosity is 5 times higher of **Run1&2 and the dead time of FE-electronics becomes** one of the main factors of expected inefficiency of chambers especially in central regions (R1, R2).

To keep the required efficiency of muon chambers ~99% with a max dead time of FE ~100ns the maximum acceptable rate must not exceed ~0.5MHz per physical channel.

The counting rate could be reduced by increasing the granularity of the pad MWPCs.

«Ghosts» - multiple hits overlapped in 25 ns time window in single logical matrix and cause loss of hits. The probability of «ghosts» grows as  $\sim L^2$ . Ghosts can appear in the mixed-readout MWPCs (in M2M3/R1R2) and could be vanished by replacement mixed-readout MWPCs with high granularity pad chambers.



Logic pad 2 = (Wire1) AND (OR2)

## **Muon detector performance**

In Runs 1&2 the Muon detector was operated at luminosity L=4×10<sup>32</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> (2 times higher of planned). Nonetheless, most regions meet the 99% efficiency requirement [4].

The main factor of the inefficiency of MWPCs is the deadtime of Frontend electronics (FE): ~70..100 ns [5].

The most irradiated MWPCs (Stations M1-M2, Region 1) having the rates ~1 MHz per FE-channel. It leads to 10% of losses and near ~98% level of efficiency for M1R1 and M2R1 chambers [4].

Over 9 years of operation, the most irradiated **MWPCs collected charge ~0.6 C/cm of wire and there** is no sign of aging (reduction of gain/efficiency/time resolution)! [6]

**Cross-section of present 4-Gaps chambers of M2-M4 stations** 



### MUON occupancy M2..M5: Hits per trigger per cm<sup>2</sup>



With new Software trigger after U1 we will have flexible granularity of the physical channels on the level of muon chambers. It means flexible segmentation of stations by regions. We can follow the occupancy at working conditions.

40% Ar + 55% CO2 + 5% CF4
2.5mm
30 um
2mm
2.5-2.8 kV (2.65 kV - working voltage)
- Wire pads (R4) - Anode & cathode pads (R1-R2 in M2-M3) - Cathode pads (everywhere else)
99% (in 25ns time window)
2.5-4 ns

## New high granularity detector

For Run3 the most irradiated chambers (M2R1, M2R2, M3R1) will be replaced with high granularity (HG) pad chambers. Cathodes is divided to 24x8 array of pads which are read by separate FE-channels. The area of pad  $\sim 1/4$  of area of anode pad in current chambers.

HG pad chamber

New MWPC with high granularity design: + ~4 times higher rate capacity + only cathode readout — no «ghosts» + using of existing frontend electronics

Requires additional readout channels: for chambers M2R1/M2R2 — 24pcs x 16ch FEBs per chamber (14 FEBs per chamber in present), LV- and control lines



Chamber design	Pad size(a=anode, c=cathode), cm²	*Max counting rate per FE-channel, MHz	«Ghosts» probability and FE- electronics dead-time losses, %	Total Efficiency (2 bi-gaps in «OR»), %
Present M2R1	3.75x3.15(c); 0.63x25.2(a)	2.15	15.7; 17.3	88.6
M2R1 HG	1.25x3.15(c)	0.59	-; 5.16	99.0
Present M2R2	7.5x3.15(c); 1.25x25.2(a)	1.7	9.5; 11.5	94.3
M2R2 HG	2.5x3.15(c)	0.43	-; 3.8	99.3

\* With beam plug shielding (Attn. Factor 0.5 for M2R1 and 0.75 for M2R2)

#### Background

The first part of the background caused by **particle** interaction with beam pipe will be reduced by the additional shielding and beam plug (will be mounted before Run3).

**Background hits not correlated with muons (in time /** tracks) put a great fraction (up to 92%) of the counting rate of FE-electronics in inner regions.

The main fraction of background is associated with hadronic cascades in the calorimeters, the muon shield, accelerator parts that produce **low-energy electrons** by (n,y) reaction and subsequent Compton-scattering or by photoelectric effect in the detector materials [7].

But! Generated electrons cause signal in only one detector gap (not like penetration tracks of muons!) and could be rejected by «AND»-logics of signals in bi-gaps.

#### Station M2 (Quadrant 2) estimated counting rates per FE-channel in bigap (Hz) for Run5 (L=2E34 cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>)







HG M2R2 prototype is ready and successfully tested at **PNPI and GIF++.** 

HG M2R1 prototype is under construction at PNPI.

Logic pad =  $2X \times 1Y$ Pad area = 1/4 of Anode pad

#### HG-cathode of M2R1 prototype: pads- and signal traces side

## **New FE-electronics for future upgrade**

Muon efficiency via Gamma radiation

payload is nonlinear after a rate of

6MHz per FE-channel in bi-gap.

Since 2031 (Run5) with HL-LHC the expected luminosity is L=2×10<sup>34</sup>  $cm^{-2}s^{-1}$  (50 times higher of present). There is expected rates up to ~1 Mhz/cm2. To keep required efficiency of MD 2 it is proposed:

1. *Read each gap separately* => reducing incoming rate in 2 times per physical channel

#### Fraction of penetrating particles (FPP):

$_{EDD}$ Rate (AND)
Rate(OR),

Rate (AND) – signals of bi-gaps in "AND" Rate (OR) – signals of bi-gaps in "OR"

#### fraction of correlated hits (%) 843 643 **DAA**

	M2	М3	M4	M5
R1	7	5	10	
R2	9	8	12	10
R3	15	22	30	20
R4	32	45	45	1

G.Graziani, Report for Muon group.



Proposed FE-logic for each logic channel

2. Combine neighbor gaps by «AND» into 3 bi-gaps (AB, BC, CD) and form by «OR» the 3-layered logical channel (AB+BC+CD). Background event gives a hit only in one of the consecutive pads and it will be rejected "on-fly". 3. Adding a gate opening an «OR» of all four gaps which is formed as requirement of at least one «AND» in neighbor gaps: (A+B+C+D)\*G.

**Finally, the suppression of uncorrelated hits by FE-logic can give a reduction** of output rate up to 10 times in the external readout electronics and can make it significantly cheaper.

The solution to these tasks is the main direction of work for the coming years!

#### Conclusion





New muon trigger stand for testing of new detectors and electronics

#### **GIF++** facility for MWPC tests

Gamma Irradiation Facility (GIF++) [8] in combination with muon beam allows to test and study of signal/background sensitivities of new detectors and electronics, the study of the aging of detectors.

#### **Properties of GIF++**

 Gamma source: 16.65 TBg Cs-137 with photons energy of 0.66 MeV

• Flux of Gamma photons ~ 1.5 MHz/cm2 at distance 1.5m • System of movable Pb and Al attenuators can reduce the intensity with factor 1..46000 • Muon beam from SPS H4 with muon's energy 100 GeV. Beam diameter 10cm and intensity 1E4 muons per spill (~1E6 muons/hour). Muon beam is available 6-8 weeks a year only.

The new test setup is constructed for GIF++ facility at CERN and consists of two 4-gap muon chambers that work in coincidence mode.

Each chamber has a very low sensitivity to gammabackground. So, rare cosmic muons can be observed in the presence of heavy gamma-background of the GIF++. Main studies of prototypes at GIF++:

- Validating characteristics of prototypes
- Investigating the dependence of the muon registration efficiency from a high payload of uncorrelated gamma hits
- Advanced studies of undesirable electronics effects such as afterpulsing, crosstalk

 Studies chamber's parameters stability on very high intensity, with currents up to 300 uA per gap

#### The prototype M2R2 efficiency measurements

The M2R2 prototype efficiency more than 98% (on single bi-gap) up to 27 fC threshold. Voltage 2650 V



1. The muon detector of LHCb is one of the largest instrument of this kind worldwide, and one of the most irradiated. Nonetheless, the detector provides required efficiency and we see no sign of aging in MWPCs after ~9 years of operation even where the collected charge was ~0.6C/cm of wire.

2. The main factor of the inefficiency of detector is dead time of FE-electronics. To keep detector efficient enough in Run3 (L is 5 times higher of present) the HG MWPCs will be used for "hot" regions with existing FE-electronics. New chambers will also allow getting rid of «ghost» events that will appear in mixed-readout chambers.

3. Background shielding and its suppression is one of the important tasks for future upgrades as the fraction of associated hits is up to 92-93% in inner regions. After Upgrade1 it's expected significant reduction of background hits with beam plug (50% for M2R1) and Fe-Wall (~40% for M2R1).

4. For future Run5 with L 50 times higher of the present value, the most of the area of the detector could be covered with HG MWPC with granularity of the physical pads suits to the FE rate capacity. Application of MWPCs in "hot" regions associated with the proposed concept of new FE-electronics which suppress uncorrelated background hits and reduce output rate in external readout electronics and make it cheaper.

5. The performance of new detectors and electronics at a heavy gammairradiation environment can be studied and tested with the muon trigger is constructed and already in use at GIF++ facility.

#### References

1. LHCb collab. 2008 JINST 3 S08005 2. LHCb collab. CERN-LHCC 2014-001 3. LHCb collab. CERN-LHCC 2018-027 4. LHCb collab. arXiv:1412.6352v2 [hep-ex] 8. S. Agosteo et al. NIM A 452 (2000) 94

5. L. Anderlini et al. JINST 11 (2016) P04010 6. F.P. Albicocco et al. arXiv:1908.02178 7. LHCb TDR 4. CERN LHCC 2001-010

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON INSTRUMENTATION FOR COLLIDING BEAM PHYSICS (INSTR-2020), 24-28 February 2020, Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia