

DLC layers for MPGDs

Rui De Oliveira

On behalf of the Resistive DLC Collaboration



Novosibirsk , 28-02-2020

DLC based electrodes for future resistive MPGDs



Diamond like Carbon base material



Kobe Japan B-sputter 4 m x 1m real



ESS 2.1 m × 400mm ?



China USTC 1.2 m x 500mm?





1m × 0.6m foils 500Kohms/square target

"DLC+" adhesion test







Copper skin scalpel cut

Apical

After tape peeling

<u>Copper adhesion force estimation</u>			
100%	GEM Base material		
50%	USTC 300 deg deposition		
40%	ESS		
30%	USTC		

The DLC Value is always lower after copper removal (at USTC and ESS) by a factor of 4 to 10?

"DLC+" : present adhesion is just at the acceptable level



-20 foils produced recently -Really Good resistive value repeatability -Signs of weak adhesion of Cu/Cr on DLC -Problems on 3 foils over 20





STD uRwell production steps



-Really simple construction -Flexible -Low cost -Low mass < 0.15%X₀ - rate limitation



Large µRwell detector Like CMS GE21 module M4 120cm x 55cm

Different high rate µRwell structures













ency

Ġ.

Effic











Discharge studies

The μ -RWELL discharge probability measured at the PSI, and compared with the measurement done with GEM at the same time and in the 2004 (same gas mixture - Ar:CO₂:CF₄ 45:15:40).

The measurement has been done in current mode, with an intense 270 MeV/c π^* beam, with a proton contamination of the 3.5%.

₹0.14 Triple-GEM ຄຸ້0.12 @ Gain=3500 ries µ:505 RMS:88 0.1 avg on 6 µ-RWELL 西0.08 @ Gain=5000 μ: 483 RMS: 192 0.06 0.04 0.02 0 200 400 600 800 1000 1200 1400 1600 Spark Amplitude (nA)



spike exceeding the steady current level correlated to the particle flux (~90 MHz on a ~5 cm² beam spot size).

The discharge probability for μ -RWELL comes out to be slightly lower than the one measured for GEM.

Moreover its discharge amplitude seems to be lower than the one measured for GEM.

л. Рон Lener, МРОБ 2019 - La Rochelle, 10/05/2019



16

INFN





+Material long term stability

Protections to survive overvoltage

Overvoltage means sparks →Possible voltage breakdown of materials and large local energy release →DOCA protection (Distance Of Closest Approach)



Thermal conductivity	Melting	First problem: DLC layer breakdown due to the voltage set by the spark. -if DLC is damage by Voltage Breakdown → no spark control - Good DOCA cancel this problem
W/mK	Celcius	- Obd DOCA cancer mis problem
0.2	200	Second problem : electron/ion bombardment during spark
0.18	400	 bombardment →temperature rise due to joule effect → material evaporation → material condensation → current instabilities Bonbardment effects can be mitigated
384	1085	-avoid repetitive sparks \rightarrow remove contaminants (dust, ions from chemicals).
1350	700	- choose high melting temperature materials to lower the evaporation -choose good thermal conductivity & thicker layers materials to cool the reaction -DLC value can reduce spark energy

Breakdown voltage			
PI	420 V/um		
Perfect DLC	650 V/um		
real DLC (average)	10 V/um		
Copper	NA		
Of course, dielectric strength are greatly impacted by impurities, dopants, structure, interconnected porosity, flaws and micro-cracks			

from thermal expansion mismatches

Material	Thermal conductivity W/mK	Melting Celcius
<u>Glass</u> epoxy	0.2	200
<u>PI</u>	0.18	400
<u>Copper</u>	384	1085
<u>Natural</u> <u>diamond</u>	1350	700

DOCA to prevent DLC BV



test with real sparks



-Columns of 11 patterns → DOCA ranging from 0.1 up to 1 mm -Single hole test -DLC 60M-70M/Sqr -4 columns of 11 patterns have been tested (A,B,C,D)



Observations

- Leakage current start at 800V in air!
 - We were expecting 650V air BV for a 50um gap (like GEMs)
- The current shape during overvoltage depends on DOCA distance
 - Smooth current increase with long DOCA → small material deposition after evaporation?
 - Sudden increase to uA with small DOCA → larger deposition ?
- After 30 sec with 30nA in one hole we start to see voltage drop
 - After several session of 30s , it stabilize at 550V / 650V (0 current voltage)
 - No visible damages on any structures.
 - There is obviously electric signs of material deposition
 - Unfortunately it was impossible to count sparks
- Next step will be to look at the "sparks" current shape when operating above Air BV
 - We would like to study the single hole spark current shape and rate with a fast oscilloscope .
 - This is possible with DLC since we have time before damaging the device
- Preliminary results : with 60Mohms/Sqr DLC , the DOCA can be as low as 0.1mm without visible damages



Observations

- Leakage current start at 800V in air!
 - We were expecting 650V air BV for a 50um gap (like GEMs)
- The current shape during overvoltage depends on DOCA dist
 - Smooth current increase with long DOCA \rightarrow small material deposition aft
 - Sudden increase to uA with small DOCA \rightarrow larger deposition?
- After 30 sec with 30nA in one hole we start
 - We were looking After several session of 30s , it stabilize at 550V / 6
 - No visible damages on any structures.
 - There is obviously electric signs of material ٠
 - Unfortunately it was impossible to count ٠
- Next step will be to look
 - We would like to study th
 - This is possible with DLC sin
- Preliminary results : with 6

Lamaging the device

ms/Sqr DLC , the DOCA can be as low as 0.1mm without visible damages



What is the energy released level during spark?



1000 X for a 10cm x 10cm GEM

Possible explanation of the DOCA Test results?





Possible explanation of the DOCA Test results?



Possible explanation of the DOCA Test results?





Production

Different μ Rwell structures



















-Extra Large DOCA -Adjustable evacuation point density VS rate -100% compatible with STD PCB processes

-Needs DLC+ base material





0.7mm₂₆

Different Resistive protection approach with Micro-Megas



2 Printed layers



-Extra Large DOCA

-No DLC needed -High rate detectors

-Large pads only -low energy resolution

-Accurate layers registration in large size

-Embedded Res should be less than 10KOhms/square





Resistive paste resistors (10KOhms/square max)

Coverlay gluing + via fill

+ top resistive printing (100K max)





Leica MZ1614a



5 ILC DHCAL 50cm diameter pads 1cm x 1cm 5M/Pad

 $1 \text{ cm x 1 cm pad} \rightarrow \text{Ok}$ There is space to create a

2 Mohms Resistor

with 10K/sqr paste



 $1 \text{mm x } 3 \text{mm pad} \rightarrow Bad result$ There is no space to create a 2 Mohms Resistor with 10k paste

DOCA: 10mm

2 "DLC+" structure with SBU process Sequential Build Up







conclusion

- Simple DLC material
 - Ready for large size and high rate detectors
 - For some application we need to get better resistive uniformity
- We need to improve the "DLC+" materials (Cu adhesion)
 - Main goal is to propose solutions 100% compatible with industry STD processes
 - There is still work to be done to produce large sizes " DLC+" foils
- DOCA & "Energy Release" study should be continued to optimize protection
 - Fine study of currents during quenched sparks

Thank you

examples:



ATLAS NSW Strips 100k/Sqr 2m x 1m



ILC TPC 30cm x 15cm 3mm x 8mm pads 2M/Sqr sharing layer



32 T2K upgrade 40cm x40cm 1cm x 1cm pads 500K/Sqr sharing layer



20 LSBB 50cm x 50cm X/Y 1mm/1mm 30M/Sqr sharing layer



2 Demonstrator 5cm × 5cm 1mm × 3mm pads 2R layers 30M/5M 2R layers 60M/60M



5 ILC DHCAL 50cm diameter pads 1cm x 1cm 5M/Pad



2 Demonstrators 5cm x 5cm pads 1mm x 3mm 5 M/pad 20M/pad

Item	Details	$^{o}/_{oo}$ of X _o
3 GEMs	$6 \ge 5 \ \mu m \text{ copper } [0.7]$	1.68
	$3 \ge 50 \ \mu m$ kapton [0.7]	0.42
	TOTAL:	2.10
1 Drift	$5 \ \mu m \ copper$	0.35
	$50 \ \mu m \ kapton$	0.17
	TOTAL:	0.52
3 Grid spacers	$3 \ge 2 \text{ mm} \text{ fiberglass } [0.008]$	0.25
1 Readout board	80 μ m strips: 5 μ m copper [0.2]	0.07
	1536 pads: 5 μ m copper [0.85]	0.26
	$50 \ \mu m$ kapton $[0.2]$	0.03
	120 μm fiberglass	0.62
	$60 \ \mu m e poxy$	0.30
	TOTAL:	1.28
1 Shielding	$10 \ \mu m$ aluminium	0.11
2 Honeycombs	$2 \ge 3 \mod Nomex$	0.46
4 Fiberglass foils	$4 \ge 120 \ \mu m$ fiberglass	2.47
	TOTAL:	7.19

TOTEM GEM

> TOTAL 0.719 %X₀

Table 1.1: Material in one GEM detector

Micro Well with XY aluminum strip , Chrome drift



Conservative approach, copper is used in the place of avalanche discharges. If we use only aluminum it makes $0.16 \% X_0$

DLC Resistive GEM



FTM process

* sand blasting

Minimizing distortions with sectored GEM electrodes

A.P. Marques^{a,b}, F.M. Brunbauer^{a,*}, H. Müller^a, R. de Oliveira^a, E. Oliveri^a, D. Pfeiffer^{a,c}, L. Ropelewski^a, J. Samarati^{a,c}, F. Sauli^a, L. Scharenberg^{a,d}, L. Shang^e, M. van Stenis^a, S. Williams^a, Y. Zhou^f





In progress Waiting for good base material

Resistive THGEM







DLC Film	Surface Resistivity (kΩ/□)	Surface Resistance From The Probe (kΩ)	Coefficient Factor	Error (%)
1	359	345	1.041	4
2	386	364	1.060	6
3	403	380	1.061	5

7cm x 7cm square of DLC

- lateral silver connection to create
 1 Square
- Connect probe to Ohm-meter
- Compare probe measurement to silver connections measurement
- Error in the range of 5%
- We can directly read the value from the Ohm-meter

Resistive LEM

- Quenching of discharges with resistive 50×50 cm² LEM :
- Made at CERN EP-DT-EF :
 - copper side facing readout anode
 - DLC on 50 μ m APICAL polyimide film (250 M Ω / \Box)
 - same geometry as CFR-35 (ProtoDUNE-DP)
 - no rims, no gold plating on copper face.
- Tests in progress at CEA/Irfu.
- R&D will continue in collaboration with CERN.







Goal of resistive protections

- Make Sparks invisible
- Simplify detectors
 - Reduce the cost
 - Be large size compatible
 - Aim to use only industrial processes
- Keep best "existing" MPGDs features
 - Rate
 - Space resolution
 - Time resolution
 - Energy resolution
 - Mass