The Well (micro-WELL) Electron Multiplier with the DLC anode – a key element of the robust and fast 2D-position sensitive MPGD

A.Kashchuk^a (anatoli.kachtchouk@cern.ch), V. Akulich^b, K.Afanaciev^c, V.Bayev^c, A.Churakov^d, N.Kravchuk^d, N.Kuchinskiy^d,



O.Levitskaya^a, S.Movchan^d, V.Tchekhovski^c ^a PNPI, Gatchina; ^b PhTI, Minsk; ^c INP BSU, Minsk; ^d JINR, Dubna

INSTR'20 (24-28 February 2020) Novosibirsk, Russia





6 2-nd electrode (Copper mesh) 7 3-rd electrode (strip/pixel readout)

5 Dielectric substrate with Copper on one or both sides

surrounding 1 hole, $4=2\times 2$, $9=3\times3$ and $16=4\times4$ holes

2-nd electrode (Copper mesh) 3-rd electrode (X-strips or pads/pixels)

Spatial resolution $\sigma_{XY} \sim 70 \ \mu m$ [5]

Fundamental Requirements to Robust Operation



- Charge produced by an avalanche process in the well-like holes bombards resistive anode.
- Electro-thermal breakdown in the resistive layer occurs at high gas gain above 10⁴. The breakdown is very complicated and influenced by many factors.
- Our goal is to check a robustness of the WELL (μ-WELL) Electron Multiplier with the thin DLC film.

Diamond-Like-Carbone (DLC) film characterization



- The WELL (micro-WELL) detector operates without induction gap combining GEM/THGEM and RPC concepts.
- Gas mixture used in the test is Ar/CO2 (90/10) at 1 bar pressure and room temperature.
- In contrast to GEM/THGEM the capacitance per unit of area between the 1-st and 2-nd electrodes is separated by large R and reduced significantly.
- As shown (*right*), the DLC film as RCsystem is considered as R and C connected in series, where R is the surface resistance and C is the "local" capacitance normalized to one hole: C_2 from the top of DLC to the 2-nd electrode,
 - C_3 from the top of DLC to the 3-rd electrode. • Arrows show how the current flow, $I_1 \approx I$ and $I_1 = I_2 + I_3$.

Surface resistance R (MOhm/□) and bulk resistivity ρ (Ohm·cm)

sp²

sp²

Detector assembled for test



Gas Gain and Discharge Rate vs HV

• Amplitude spectrum from ⁵⁵Fe (peak 5,9 keV and 3 keV escape) with resolution 28% was measured at gas gain 30,000 (right).

The WELL (µ-WELL) Electron Multiplier – Operational Principles

• DLC structure can be estimated from spectra of light scattering deconvoluted into two Gaussians around 1540-1570 cm⁻¹ (G-peak) and around 1370-1390 cm⁻¹ (D-peak).

The ratio of the peaks indicated with arrows, ID/IG, gives the most important information of sp3 (Diamond-like) and sp2 (Graphite-like) bonds content in DLC films.

Information about quality but not about quantity.

The smaller the D-peak, the better DLC film quality in the respect of diamond-like performances.

As shown below the ratio $sp3/sp2 \approx 50\%$ in our samples of films, prepared for the experiment with thickness of 120 nm for three configurations of mesh surrounding 1 hole (a), $4=2\times 2$ (b) and $9=3\times 3$ (c) holes on the anode substrate.











Test for Robustness Against Discharges

The WELL-detector for the test has been prepared with the DLC anode at thickness of 120 nm and with the Copper mesh surrounding 1 hole.

Two amplitude spectra from X-ray source ⁵⁵Fe are compared at the end of the test.

First one (left spectrum) accumulated at the beginning of the test at switching on HV at the gas gain about 30,000 and discharge rate in a range of 10-30 Hz (micro-Amps).

Second one (right spectrum) was accumulated (similar statistics) after one day of continuous operation with same conditions - the number of discharges during the day was estimated as approximately ~0.5 millions.

Three scenario at discharges are presented below: *a*) discharge in the DLC - large resistance R becomes small r; b) discharge in the hole in gas – capacitor becomes r; c) discharge in both media simultaneously.

In cases a) and b) current I(t) in a range of nano-Amps; in case c) I(t) $\approx Va/Rv - micro-Amps$.

One can conclude that no difference in the shape of two spectra.

Sigma

- No visible damages was found on the electrodes.
- The detector continues to work correctly.

ID/IG

=1.27

500

400

300

200







Rv

Va



<u>References</u>

- 1. R.Bellazzini, et al. The WELL detector. NIM A423 (1999), 125-134.
- 2. P.Deines-Jones, et al. Large-area imaging micro-well detectors for high energy astrophysics. NIM A478 (2002), 130-134.
- 3. G.Bencivenni, et al. The μ-RWELL layouts for high particle rate. JINST 14 (2019), P05014.
- 4. M. Poli Lener, et al. The μ-RWELL: A compact, spark protected, single amplification-stage MPGD. NIM A824 (2016), 565-568.
- 5. Yi.Zhou, et al. Fabrication and performance of a μ-RWELL detector with DLC resistive electrode and two-dimensional readout. NIM A927 (2019), 31-36. REMEFI60718X0200.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank O.Gapon for PCB design, S.Khakhalin for mechanical design (PNPI), S.Shashkov (SOL Instruments Ltd., Minsk, Belarus) for the help in measurements the Raman spectra, Yi Zhou (University of Sci. and Technology of China) who kindly presented his DLC in order to we could compare it with our DLC presented in this work._A.Kashchuk and O.Levitskaya acknowledge the financial support of Russian Ministry of Science, agreement №075-15-2019-954 by 31.05.2019, unique identification number of project