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**DAΦNE: the Φ-Factory**

**DAΦNE upgrades**

New interaction region: large beam crossing angle + sextupoles for crabbed waist optics → 59% increase in terms of peak luminosity

- $e^+e^-$ collider @ $\sqrt{s} = M_\Phi = 1.0194$ GeV
- 2 interaction regions
- 2 separate rings
- 105 +105 bunches, $T_{RF} = 2.7$ ns
- Injection during data taking
- Crossing angle: $2 \times 12.5$ mrad
  - $L_{\text{peak}} = 1.5 \times 10^{32}\ \text{cm}^{-2}\ \text{s}^{-1}$
  - $L_{\text{peak}} = 2.4 \times 10^{32}\ \text{cm}^{-2}\ \text{s}^{-1}$
The KLOE-2 Experiment

KLOE-2 experiment ended on March 30th 2018:

\[
\int L_{\text{delivered}} = 6.8 \text{ fb}^{-1} \\
\int L_{\text{acquired}} = 5.5 \text{ fb}^{-1}
\]

KLOE + KLOE-2 data sample:

\(8 \text{ fb}^{-1} \rightarrow 2.4 \times 10^{10} \phi\) mesons produced, the largest sample ever collected at the \(\phi(1020)\) peak in collider experiments
Physics at KLOE-2: Motivations

\[ e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \gamma^* \gamma^* \rightarrow e^+ e^- X \]

for quasi-real photons \( J^{PC}(X) = \{0\pm, 2\pm, +\} \)

\( \rightarrow X = \{\pi^0, \pi\pi, \eta\} \)

Physics goal:

\* Precision measurement (1\%) of the \( \Gamma_{\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma} \)

\( \Gamma_{\text{Th.}} \pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma = 8.09 \pm 0.11\text{eV} \) (1.4\% precision)

\( \Gamma_{\text{Exp.}} \pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma = 7.82 \pm 0.22 \) (2.8\% precision, via Primakoff Effect, most precise measurement);

\* First measurements of the \( F_{\pi^0 \gamma^* \gamma}(q^2, 0) \) in the space-like region for \( q^2 < 0.1 \text{GeV}^2 \)

Physics motivation:

impact on the value and precision of the \( a_{\mu}^{\text{L. by L.}}; \pi^0 \)
The HET Detector

The HET stations are located 11m away the IP after the bending dipoles acting like spectrometer position detector.

28 plastic scintillators (5x6x3 mm$^3$) inserted in roman pots with 1$^{st}$ plastic at about 5 cm from the beam 1 Long Plastic for coincidence.

Energy acceptance $\sim 430$–$480$ MeV
Angular acceptance $0^\circ \div 1.5^\circ$

$\sigma_{\theta} \sim 2, 5$ mrad, $\sigma_r \sim 5$ mm, $\sigma_t \sim 500(1)$ ps
Performance of the HET detector

HET Rates are dominated by single-arm Bhabha’s as observed in normal and dedicated runs

\[ R_{\text{HET}} = R_{\text{trig}} (\alpha_{L_e,p} L + \beta_{e,p} I_{e,p}^2) \]

Normal run: the rate timeline strictly follows the luminosity timeline as measured by the KLOE central detector

Luminometer detector: fast and reliable feedbacks on the machine operation
Performance of the HET detector

Run with special DAΦNE bunch pattern, both beams circulating in the machine at the same time. Holes correspond to 5 empty bunches between the filled ones.

The HET hit time structure closely reproduce DAΦNE bunch structure.

The HET detector is noiseless → hit rate with no circulating beams is negligible.

The matching of the DAΦNE bunch structure seen by KLOE and HET used to synchronize the two detectors.
Performance of the HET detector

Trigger TDCs rate compared with plastic TDCs rate for the run with a special DAΦNE bunch pattern

LA Bhabha control sample

Clearly visible the correlation between KLOE trigger and plastic rates for a physics sample
Low angle Bhabha cross section

Method:
- Clusterization of the detector response within 20 ns
- Measurement performed per second and per bunch, on different run periods
- Online luminosity measured by KLOE used to obtain $\sigma \times A \times \varepsilon$ raw cross sections per channel

Stability of the measurements:
- HET channels closest to the beams present a completely anomalous behavior with stability over time-scale of few hours
- Rates from HET ele (pos) channels 11(18) are stable over time-scale of months/year

Stable HET channels used to measure $\mathcal{L}$ pattern and perform $\pi^0$ analysis

Bbrem code (small-angle Bhabha) interfaced with GEANT4 simulation of the lepton transport within DAFNE magnetic layout used to obtain and cross-check the detector acceptance $\times$ efficiency
Low angle Bhabha cross section

2017 data, HET ele, plast ≥ 11

Stability of raw cross section per second (up)
lumi timeline (bottom)

Luminosity pattern measured by HET and KLOE

\[ \mathcal{L} \text{ [nb}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}] = \frac{\text{Rate} \times 10^{3}}{(\text{Trigrate} \times \sigma[\text{mb}] \times 2 \times 120 \times 2.712[\text{ns}]}) \]
Cross section fluctuations Vs time are correlated with detector efficiency and/or discriminator threshold variations (under study)

Only plastics $\geq 11$ are used for $\pi^0$ search

Bbbrem validation in progress
Status of $\pi^0$ Search

1.5 fb$^{-1}$ of KLOE-2 data reconstructed (full 2017-2018 KLOE-2 sample)
0.5/1.5 fb$^{-1}$ of data have been analyzed

Two tagged samples established with the analysis of 18/28 HET stable channels in the electron-side:
- Bhabha scattering events with photons in KLOE
- $\pi^0$ candidates from $\gamma\gamma$ scattering

Multivariate analysis to separate the two samples

Some issues in the TDC decoding and HET-KLOE synchronization have been found and fixed

$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+e^-$ simulation based on EKHARA generator
(Comp.Phys.Com 182 (2011), 1338)

Tracking of the final state leptons from IP to HET position based on BDISM (arxiv 1808.10745) a Geant4 toolkit
**Analysis Criteria**

The analysis cannot be based only on HET-KLOE time coincidences, accidentals must be subtracted (affecting precision achievable in the measurement)

**Double arm selection:**
Time coincidence between the two stations within 12 ns

**Single Arm selection:**
Sample of 2 clusters associated with the same bunch crossing in the KLOE barrel calorimeter (50MeV Vs 100 MeV trigger threshold in the endcaps), trigger efficiency for 70 MeV $\gamma$ about 80%

selected bunch crossing, and, independently selected HET signal, are in a time window of 30 ns around the KLOE trigger

very loose kinematic cuts

MVA: several training performed, with very basic MVA classifier and with plastics Vs kinematic correlations
2D-fit of signal + accidental data sample, all parameters are fixed but signal normalization. Background function is determined by the fit of the accidental-pure sample. Signal resolution parameters fixed to: \( \sigma_{M_{\gamma\gamma}} = 20 \text{ MeV}, \sigma_{\Delta T_{\gamma\gamma}} = 400 \text{ ps} \)

Evidence of tagged events established with the analysis of 500 pb\(^{-1}\) of reconstructed data.

Amount of accidental coincidences still too high, it is mandatory to reduce it in order to perform a precise measurement.

Some issues affecting energy resolution, accidental amount evaluation and HET-KLOE synchronization have been found and fixed.

Currently, we are reprocessing all reconstructed data using optimized calibration constants for the calorimeter cluster energy and time in order to improve as much as possible our energy and time resolutions.
Conclusions

★ HET stations are noiseless with timeline counting rate showing only two visible contributions: from luminosity and from Touschek particles.
★ The total rate, dominated by very low angle Bhabha scattering events, is at the level of 500-600 kHz.
★ The low angle Bhabha cross section measurement has been performed with HET data. The validation of the BBBREM generator, to extract $A \times \varepsilon$ of the detector, is in progress.
★ The performance of the HET, and its fast and reliable feedbacks on machine operation, makes this detector a luminometer as well.
★ 500 pb$^{-1}$ have been analyzed: a statistical evidence of tagged events has been obtained with 18/28 stable HET channels, on the electron side.
★ Accidental amount must be reduced to perform a precise measurement.
★ Some issues affecting the analysis have been found and fixed.
★ We are reprocessing data using optimized calibration constants for the calorimeter cluster energy and time in order to improve our energy and time resolutions.
Thank You!
SPARES
The HET DAQ

- Discriminator provides output signal with a width of $\sim2$ ns $\rightarrow$ possibility to discriminate 2 consecutive bunches in DAΦNE ($\Delta T_{\text{bunch}} = 2.7$ ns)
- TDCV5 uses custom logic in order to manage signals from HET-DAΦNE and KLOE
- KLOE and HET acquisition systems are asynchronous: we use the Fiducial provided by DAΦNE (radio-frequency signal) which is in phase with respect to the first bunch circulating in DAΦNE
- The HET do not provide trigger to KLOE
- We read the history of the HET in turn of DAΦNE only when a valid KLOE trigger ($T_1$) is asserted
The HET DAQ

- HET data acquisition system has been designed to register hits from two complete machine turns plus the part of a third turn preceding the trigger signal from KLOE.
- The time-depth for the HET data recording has been measured as a function of the delay between KLOE trigger and the Fiducial and ranges from 660 to 970 ns.
Hit delay distribution between HET ele-pos
Fit performed with 13 Gaussian of same $\sigma$

Time resolution is $\sigma_t = 550(1)\text{ps}$
Time offset between stations of $24\pm10\text{ ps}$
Energy, momenta and time resolutions on 70 MeV energy photons. The study was performed by means of a control sample of radiative Bhabhas...
Trigger efficiency on 70 MeV energy photons is of about 80%

**Simulation:** \( e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \) process

Simulated Invariant mass Vs \( \cos \theta_{\gamma\gamma} \) distributions for Double-Arm (DA) and Single-Arm (SA) events

Full Simulation:
- Ekhara 2.1* for the signal: \( e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \)
- Bdsim for beam transport along the machine lattice
- Kloe resolution on 70 MeV energy photons
- Trigger efficiency on 70 MeV energy photon (\( \sim 80\% \))

Effective cross sections:
- \( \sigma_{\text{tot}} = 283.7 \text{ pb} \)
- \( \sigma_{\text{KLOE}} = 41 \text{ pb} \)
- \( \sigma_{\text{SA}} = 7 \text{ pb} \)
- \( \sigma_{\text{DA}} = 2 \text{ pb} \)

* Computer Physics Communications 182 (2011) 1338-1349