Сессия-конференция Секции ядерной физики ОФН РАН, 10 марта 2020, Новосибирск

Измерение энергетической зависимости сечений $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS) \pi^+\pi^$ в эксперименте Belle *JHEP 1910, 220 (2019)*

Роман Мизюк (ФИАН)

Bottomonium-like states

Above $B\overline{B}$ threshold there are 5 hadrons containing $b\overline{b}$ quarks:

 $Z_b(10610)^+, Z_b(10650)^+ \Rightarrow \text{exotic quark content: } |b\overline{b}u\overline{d}\rangle$ $\Upsilon(4S), \Upsilon(5S), \Upsilon(6S) \Rightarrow \text{properties unexpected for } b\overline{b}$:

Enhanced hadronic transitions to lower bottomonia: $\Gamma[\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S,2S,3S) \pi^{+}\pi^{-}] = 240,430,150 \text{ MeV}$ c.f. $\Gamma[\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \pi^{+}\pi^{-}] = 6 \text{ MeV},$ $\Gamma[\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S,2S) \pi^{+}\pi^{-}] = 0.9, 0.6 \text{ MeV}.$

η transitions are not suppressed w.r.t. π⁺π⁻ transitions: $[\Upsilon(4S) → \Upsilon(1S) η] / \Gamma[\Upsilon(4S) → \Upsilon(1S) π⁺π⁻] = 2.4
 c.f. Γ[\Upsilon(2S) → \Upsilon(1S) η] / Γ[\Upsilon(2S) → \Upsilon(1S) π⁺π⁻] = 1.6 × 10⁻³,
 [\Upsilon(3S) → \Upsilon(1S) η] / Γ[\Upsilon(3S) → \Upsilon(1S) π⁺π⁻] < 2 × 10⁻³.$

Structure of Υ (4S), Υ (5S), Υ (6S) states is more complicated than pure bb pair.

Previous measurement



Clear signals of $\Upsilon(5S)$, $\Upsilon(6S)$. Excess near 10.77 GeV? Which vector states are expected in this energy range? $\Upsilon(3D)$ mixed with $\Upsilon(4S,5S)$ mixing could be enhanced due to hadron loops Exotic states: hadrobottomonia, compact tetraquarks \Rightarrow Motivation for update.

Changes in the new measurement

The same data samples, improvements in the analysis:

PREVIOUS

Use more decay channels $\Upsilon(nS) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

Improve statistical treatment of data

Count events in the signal and sideband regions with 1/Efficiency weights NEW

 $\Upsilon(nS) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $e^+ e^-$

Find signal yield from a fit, then apply efficiency correction Need 3-body matrix element to generate MC PRD91,072003(2015)

accuracy is improved by a factor ~1.3

Use ISR in high statistics Υ (5S) on-resonance data to study cross section energy dependence

Data samples

Scan data: 22 points ×1 fb⁻¹ Υ (5S) on-resonance data: 121 fb⁻¹ at 5 points, E_{max} – E_{min} = 3MeV Continuum data, 10.52GeV: 61 fb⁻¹

Selection requirements

 $\mu^{+}\mu^{-} \pi^{+}\pi^{-} / e^{+}e^{-} \pi^{+}\pi^{-} \text{ require PID, energy balance;}$ extra in e⁺e⁻ channel: M_{recoil}(e⁺e⁻) > 350 MeV, cos\theta_e_- < 0.82

Background: QED production of 4 tracks

5



Signal shape in $M_{recoil}(\pi^+\pi^-)$

 \otimes

Calculation scheme

Momentum resolution

- includes effects of
 - FSR
 - decays-in-flight
 - secondary interactions

ISR

Kuraev-Fadin radiator function $\times \sigma(E_{cm})$ $\times \epsilon (E\gamma_{ISR})$

 $\otimes \quad \text{Ecm spread} \\ \frac{\text{Gaussian} \times \sigma(\text{E}_{cm})}{5.4 \text{ MeV}}$

× ε of energy balance requirement soft cut-off at ~200 MeV

 $\sigma(E_{cm})$ is being measured \Rightarrow iterations

compute signal shapes measure cross sections fit energy dependence of cross sections

Verification of signal shape



Shapes from MC; floated parameters are yield, overall shift and momentum resolution fudge factor $f = 1.160 \pm 0.003$

Use $\Upsilon(3S)$ data $3fb^{-1}$ to study energy dependence of $f \Rightarrow$ constant

Fit to $M_{recoil}(\pi^+\pi^-)$



Signal:

fix ratio of ee/µµ yields, float µµ yields and overall shift $\Rightarrow E_{cm}$ calibration

Non-peaking background: $B(x) = A (x - x_0)^p P_3(x)$

Peaking background:

e.g.
$$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma^*\gamma^* \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS) \pi^+\pi^-$$

 $\downarrow \mu^+\mu^-$

from MC, small contribution

Krachkov, Milstein, Rezanova, Shamov, EPJ Web Conf. 212, 04010 (2019)





Continuum below Υ (4S)

Hints for non-zero values:

$$\sigma[e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-] = 40^{+21}_{-19} \text{ fb}$$

$$\sigma[e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-] = 25^{+29}_{-25} \text{ fb}$$

What could be the origin?



Expectations:

 $\begin{array}{l} e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^- = 71 fb \\ e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^- = \ 2 \ fb \\ e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^- = 35 fb \end{array}$

 \Leftarrow BW with *M*, Γ, Γ_{ee}, *B*_f from PDG. Γ_f(s) : integrate ME over Dalitz plot.

ME rapidly increase with $M(\pi^+\pi^-) \Rightarrow$ BW tails increase with energy

 \Rightarrow Large contributions at high energy

Continuum below Υ (4S)

 $M(\pi^+\pi^-)$ distribution: distinguish signal from background



Fit to energy dependence of cross sections

Fit function

 $|BW_{\Upsilon(5S)}^{(n)} + e^{i\alpha_n} BW_{\Upsilon(6S)}^{(n)} + e^{i\beta_n} BW_{new}^{(n)} + e^{i\gamma_n} BW_{\Upsilon((n+1)S)}^{(n)}|^2 \otimes Gaussian$

The new structure might have resonant or non-resonant origin. The two effects are difficult to distinguish \leftarrow similar line shape, phase motion.

Bugg EPL96,11002(2011)

 \Rightarrow Breit-Wigner – reasonable approximation in both cases. we do not claim that the new structure is a resonance

$$BW(s, M, \Gamma, \Gamma_{ee}^{0} \times \mathcal{B}_{f}) = \frac{\sqrt{12\pi \Gamma \Gamma_{ee}^{0} \times \mathcal{B}_{f}}}{s - M^{2} + iM\Gamma} \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma_{f}(s)}{\Gamma_{f}(M^{2})}}$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Floated parameters:} & M, \Gamma & \mbox{for } \Upsilon(5S), \Upsilon(6S), \mbox{new structure} \\ \Gamma_{ee}^0 \times \mathcal{B}_f, \mbox{complex phases} & \mbox{for all contributions, for all channels} \end{array}$



Fit

ISR tails of the $\Upsilon(nS)\pi\pi$ signals are sensitive to the cross section shapes. \Rightarrow Include the $M_{recoil}(\pi^{+}\pi^{-})$ distribution into the fit.

simultaneous fit to the cross sections and $M_{recoil}(\pi^+\pi^-)$

default w/o new structure



Excellent description of ISR tails.

Fit results

	$\Upsilon(1)$	0860)	$\Upsilon(11020)$	new structure	
M (MeV/c) $\Gamma (MeV)$	(c^2) 1088) 36.6	$35.3 \pm 1.5 ^{+2.2}_{-0.9}$ +4.5 +0.5 -3.9 -1.1	$11000.0^{+4.0}_{-4.5}{}^{+1}_{-1}$ $23.8^{+8.0}_{-6.8}{}^{+0.7}_{-1.8}$	$10752.7 \pm 5.9 ^{+0.7}_{-1.1}$ $35.5^{+17.6}_{-11.3} {}^{+3.9}_{-3.3}$	
Previous measuremer	108 nt 53.7	91.1 $\pm 3.2^{+0.6}_{-1.7}$ 7 ^{+7.1} +1.3 7 ^{-5.6} -5.4	$10987.5^{+6.4}_{-2.5} \stackrel{+9.}{_{-2.5}}_{-2.5}$ $61^{+9}_{-19} \stackrel{+2}{_{-20}}$	PRD93,011101(2016) many differences, e.g. model: new structure, tai $\sigma^{vis} \Leftrightarrow \sigma^{B}$	
	C.f. h _b ππ	$10884.7^{+3.6}_{-3.4}^{+3.6}_{-1.0}$ $40.6^{+12.7}_{-8.0}_{-19.1}$	$10999.0_{-7.8-1.0}^{+7.3+16.9}$ 27_{-11-12}^{+27+5}	PRL117,142001(2016)	

good agreement

Branching fractions

Multiple solutions: sum of N BW amplitudes -2^{N-1} solutions (4 or 8 in our case)

 $\Gamma_{\rm ee} \times \mathcal{B} \ ({\rm in \ eV})$

		Υ(10860)	$\Upsilon(11020)$	new
Ranges: min – max	$\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$	0.75 - 1.43	0.38 - 0.54	0.12 - 0.47
	$\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$	1.35 - 3.80	0.13 - 1.16	0.53 - 1.22
	$\Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$	0.43 - 1.03	0.17 - 0.49	0.21 - 0.26

 $\Upsilon(4S) \qquad \qquad \text{Belle PRD96,052005(2017)} \\ \mathcal{B}(\Upsilon(4S) \to \Upsilon(1S)\pi^{+}\pi^{-}) = (8.2 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5} \\ \mathcal{B}(\Upsilon(4S) \to \Upsilon(2S)\pi^{+}\pi^{-}) = (7.9 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5} \\ \end{array}$

Include Υ (4S) in the fit, scan FCN in $B \Rightarrow$

 $(1.2 - 16) \times 10^{-5}$ 67% C.L. $(1.3 - 9.6) \times 10^{-5}$

Visualization

Blue points: cross sections estimated using ISR tails

Not to be used in the fit:

- 1. Stat. errors only.
- ISR luminosity changes rapidly
 w/ energy ⇒ difficult to estimate
 effects of spread & resolution.





Conclusions

Observation of new structure

$$M = 10752.7 \pm 5.9 ^{+0.7}_{-0.4} \text{ MeV}$$
$$\Gamma = 35.5^{+17.6}_{-11.3} \pm 3.4 \text{ MeV}$$

Global significance including systematics: 5.2σ .

Evidence for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \pi^+\pi^-$ at $E_{cm} = 10.52 \text{ GeV}$

- implications for BF[Υ (4S) $\rightarrow \Upsilon$ (1,2S) $\pi^+\pi^-$]

Belle JHEP 1910, 220 (2019)

Interpretation? 2 1 2 1 Resonance? Υ(3D), Υ(4D), compact tetraquark, hybrid, hadrobottomonium,.. Non-resonant effect? Complicated rescattering,.. Need information on other channels to clarify the nature.

Back-up

Tails

Matrix elements of $\Upsilon(2S,3S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S,2S)\pi^+\pi^-$ have terms proportional to $M^2(\pi^+\pi^-)$ \Rightarrow Contributions rise quickly as PHSP grows with c.m. energy



Global significance

Exclude new structure in all channels: Δ (-2lnL) = 66.

52. – cross sections 14. – recoil mass

local significance 7.0 σ

global?

Astropart. Phys. 35, 230 (2011)

Gross-Vitells: toy MC, scan Δ (-2lnL) in M, Γ (=30,40,50,70,100,150 MeV)



Euler characteristic

 $p = \chi_6^2(u) + e^{-u/2} u^2 \left(A \sqrt{u} + B \left(u - 5\right)\right)$

"Look elsewhere effect": p-value $\times 4.5$, global significance 6.8 σ