K-long and muon system for the Belle II experiment

Timofey Uglov
(MIPT and LPI RAS)

Outline

- From Belle to Belle2
  - Belle: RPC option for KL/muon system
  - Belle2: Scintillator option for KL/muon system
- Production, assembly and tests
- Calibration
- Conclusion

NIM **A 789**, 134–142 (2015)

B-factory motivation

- 1964, Cronin, Fitch: Discovery of CP violation in K^0 system, small effect $O(10^{-3})$

- 1972, Kobayashi, Maskawa:
  - → CP violation possible, if there are 6 quark flavors
- ✔ 1974, Burton, Richter: Discovery of charm quark
- ✔ 1977, E288: Discovery of bottom quark
- ✔ 1995, CDF, D0: Discovery of top quark

→ CP violation?

Belle achievements

$\int \mathcal{L}dt > 1000\ fb^{-1} = \sim 10^{9}\ BB$





- Confirmation of KM mechanism of ~~CP~~ in the Standard Model



New charmonium-like states: X, Y, Z ...

Heavy hadrons spectroscopy

KEKb accelerator





The Belle detector



Belle: Resistive Plate Chambers





Gas: Ar/C_4H_{10}/HFC-134a = 30/8/62 (%)

Voltage≈7kV

SuperKEKb luminosity plans



SuperKEKb





New Superconducting / permanent final focusing quads near the IP



TiN coated beam pipe with antechambers

$$L = \frac{\gamma_\pm}{2er_e}\left(1+\frac{\sigma_y^*}{\sigma_x^*}\right)\left(\frac{I_\pm \xi_{\pm y}}{\beta_y^*}\right)\left(\frac{R_L}{R_y}\right)$$

Larger crossing angle
2ϕ = 22 mrad $\rightarrow$ 83 mrad

Smaller asymmetry
3.5 / 8 GeV $\rightarrow$ 4 / 7 Gev

The Belle2 detector









RPC efficiency for Belle2

Higher luminosity → Higher background → Larger dead time → Lower efficiency

Belle2 TDR

Moderate

Layer	Barrel KEKB	Barrel SuperKEKB	Endcap forward KEKB	Endcap forward SuperKEKB	Endcap backward KEKB	Endcap backward SuperKEKB
0	0.91	0.70	0.91	0.0	0.90	0.0
1	0.94	0.81	0.93	0.0	0.90	0.0
2	0.96	0.87	0.94	0.0	0.90	0.0
3	0.98	0.91	0.94	0.0	0.90	0.0
4	0.98	0.94	0.94	0.0	0.89	0.0
5	0.99	0.95	0.92	0.0	0.88	0.0
6	0.99	0.95	0.93	0.0	0.89	0.0
7	0.99	0.96	0.92	0.0	0.87	0.0
8	0.99	0.94	0.92	0.0	0.86	0.0
9	0.99	0.96	0.90	0.0	0.85	0.0
10	0.99	0.98	0.87	0.0	0.82	0.0
11	0.99	0.97	0.82	0.0	0.80	0.0
12	0.99	0.96	0.78	0.0	0.81	0.0
13	0.99	0.97	0.77	0.0	0.76	0.0
14	0.99	0.96	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

RPC efficiency measured in KEKB and extrapolated to SuperKEKB.

Acceptable

Inacceptable

Scintillator option for KLM

Requirements for a new KLM system designed for operation at SuperKEKb luminosity:

- Low dead time: << μsec for a typical channel (strip) area 1000 cm^2
- Large geometrical acceptance: > 95%
- High detection efficiency: ~99% for MIP
- Low bg (neutron bg + electronic noise)

Solution

- **REPLACEMENT OF ALL ENDCAP AND 2 INNERMOST BARREL LAYERS**
- Scintillator based detector with WLS readout
- Fast photodector: Si photo diode in Geiger mode (SiPM - Hamamatsu MPPC)
- Independent operation of x-y layers

Scintillator - WLS - SiPM

"Uniplast" polystyrene strips

Hamamtsu MPPC S10362-13-050

SUREL SL-1 optical glue



Kuraray WLS Y-11(200)MSJ





Scintillator strip production

filling the strip groove with optical gel from the top with moving carriage







Scintillator strip lightyield







Good Gaussian distribution with a small RMS and perfect (almost twice larger than at TDR) mean value

Endcap sector layer



- WLS fiber in each strip
- SiPM at one fiber end
- mirrored far fiber end



75 strips (4 cm width)/sector

16800 strips for F&B Endcap KLM

the longest strip 2.8 m; the shortest 0.6 m

Layer assemble

15 strips are glued to
polystyrene substrate
(1.5mm, both sides)



Support structure
made of I-beam
profiles





Close up view to assembled superlayer



Modules assemble and installation

NIM **A 789**, 134–142 (2015)

Assembled module before closing the cover



Installation gaps in the magnet flux return

Module installation



Electronics



Belle 2 DAQ

KLM Readout
(150 channels)

10 × 2 × 34-cond
Ribbon cables

SiPMs

HV



preamplifier
board (15 ch)
Inside the detector

readout board
(one per layer)
outside the detector

Cosmic tracks with RPC







Scintillator-based trigger

Calibration with SiPM noise



SiPM noise is linear in log scale, as rate for

$N_{Photoelectrons} \sim (xtalk)^{(N-1)}$

Cross talk (xtalk~ 0.1-0.2) is due to after pulses, when photons from Geiger discharge in one pixel hit the neighboring pixels at SiPM.

Use SIPM noise spectrum for SiPM calibration and optimal HV tuning: photoelectron peaks are well seen as steps in rate vs threshold distributions.



Naive fit model of SiPM noise:
G(pedestal)+∑erf(p.e. peak position)

position of the 1 p.e. peak is determined with reasonable precision, and this is the only required information (gain vs HV) to tune HV and set threshold for trigger.

gain = 1 p.e. position - pedestal



$HV_{optimal}$= $HV_{break\ down}$ +(1.4-1.5) V

$HV_{break\ down}$ =69.9 V

Conclusion

- RPC-based KLM system worked fine in the Belle environment, but its efficiency vanishes in SuperKEKb conditions
- New endcap KLM system for Belle2 is based on the mixed technique: scintillator+WLS+SiPM for endcaps and 2 innermost barrel layers, RPC for other
- Good time resolution, tiny dead time and ability to measure signal amplitudes allows to cope with higher background and be efficient in new conditions
- All components of the system were successfully produced, tested and installed to the Belle 2 detector
- Developing of the calibration, slow control etc software and its integration to the Belle 2 DAQ is under way
- See cosmic muons in standalone mode
- New KLM system for Belle2 will be ready 2017 fall.

Backup

Radiation hardness





Small degradation of MIP efficiency (99%⟶97%) is due to the smearing of the threshold by random 1 p.e. noise.

SiPM: Irradiation at a dose equivalent to 10 years of Belle2 operation



Strips, fibers, glue etc do not degrade at estimated radiation dose